

Marginalization of Disability as Alterity in George R. R. Martin's *A Song of Ice and Fire*

Hayder Ali Kadhim Gebreen¹, Ravichandran Vengadasamy², Jeslyn Sharnita Amarasekera³

¹ PhD Candidate, Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia & Assistant Professor, Department of English, Faculty of Arts, University of Kufa, Najaf, Iraq. Email: p94880@siswa.ukm.edu.my

² Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia

³ Faculty of Social Science and Humanities, Tunku Abdul Rahman University of Management and Technology, 53300 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Correspondence: Hayder Ali Kadhim Gebreen, PhD Candidate, Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia & Assistant Professor, Department of English, Faculty of Arts, University of Kufa, Najaf, Iraq. Email: p94880@siswa.ukm.edu.my

Received: April 25, 2025

Accepted: August 5, 2025

Online Published: October 21, 2025

doi:10.5430/wjel.v16n2p191

URL: <https://doi.org/10.5430/wjel.v16n2p191>

Abstract

This paper explores George R. R. Martin's high epic fantasy saga, *A Song of Ice and Fire*, through the intersecting lenses of postcolonial alterity and the social model of disability. It concentrates on two key disabled figures—Tyrion Lannister and Bran Stark—considering disability not merely as a physical or medical state, but as a condition defined and intensified by social exclusion, prejudice, and entrenched cultural values. Using qualitative textual analysis across all five published volumes, the discussion focuses on three interrelated themes: marginalization, inclusion and participation, and autonomy. The analysis shows that although Martin subverts certain genre conventions by granting disabled characters political authority, narrative presence, and symbolic weight, he also employs compensatory traits—such as heightened intelligence or supernatural abilities—to explain their centrality. By exposing these contradictions, the study argues that fantasy fiction can simultaneously disrupt and reproduce ableist narratives, offering alternative insights into questions of power, identity, and the human body while contributing to wider conversations on the portrayal of disability in literature.

Keywords: marginalization, alterity, fantasy fiction, *A Song of Ice and Fire*, disability

1. Introduction

1.1 Problem Statement

In much of traditional fantasy literature, the central protagonists are typically portrayed as able-bodied, white, heterosexual men, whereas women, ethnic minorities, and people with disabilities are often confined to supporting roles or cast in predictable stereotypes. These depictions serve to uphold dominant cultural norms and rarely disturb the established social order. In contrast, George R. R. Martin's *A Song of Ice and Fire* (ASOIAF) diverges from this convention by positioning disabled characters—most prominently Tyrion Lannister and Bran Stark—at the core of both political intrigue and narrative development. Through their journeys, the series questions the assumed connection between physical “normality” and legitimate authority, presenting disability as a central marker of “Otherness” in the patriarchal and feudal society of Westeros.

The concept of alterity, or “otherness,” refers to the condition of being regarded as fundamentally distinct from the prevailing social norm (Levinas, 1969; Staszak, 2008). Within the social model of disability, this sense of difference is understood not simply in terms of physical or cognitive impairment, but in relation to the social and attitudinal obstacles that limit an individual's participation (Siebers, 2008). Viewed together, these two frameworks provide a lens through which to examine how Tyrion and Bran navigate the intersecting pressures of ableist prejudice and patriarchal authority, while carving out spaces for influence despite their marginal status.

Although academic interest in the representation of disability within literature has grown in recent years, epic fantasy remains a relatively underexamined field—especially when approached through the combined lens of postcolonial alterity and the social model of disability. Studies by Wilson (2020), Murray (2022), Wang (2022), and O'Malley (2023) have explored disability in various forms of speculative fiction, yet few have investigated how narrative agency, power dynamics, and the social construction of difference converge in *A Song of Ice and Fire*. This research seeks to fill that gap by analyzing Martin's depictions of Tyrion and Bran, highlighting the ways in which their portrayals both mirror and challenge broader cultural mechanisms of “othering.”

Within Martin's fictional realm, alterity frequently appears in the form of marginalization, social exclusion, and even banishment—realities made harsher by the entrenched hierarchies of its society. As Foucault (1997) notes, the process of “othering”

functions as a deliberate exercise of power, sustaining dominance by drawing attention to the perceived vulnerabilities of those pushed to the margins. This dynamic mirrors colonial relations, with Westerosi society defining and policing the boundaries of normalcy. Although binary divisions such as self/other and us/them remain central to these structures (Jackson, 1981), Martin complicates the dichotomy: Tyrion and Bran, while marked as “Other,” employ intellect, resilience, and political skill to navigate—and at times subvert—systems designed to constrain them. In doing so, ASOIAF invites readers to reconsider how fantasy can simultaneously challenge and perpetuate hegemonic norms.

1.2 Importance of the Problem

Martin’s *A Song of Ice and Fire* challenges conventional medieval fantasy not only by giving disabled characters sustained narrative attention, but also by portraying them as active agents within the political and moral landscape of Westeros. Tyrion Lannister’s centrality as a strategist and diplomat, and Bran Stark’s transformation into the mystical Three-Eyed Raven, disrupt the genre’s tendency to marginalize or erase disabled figures. These characters are not romanticized as symbols of pure suffering, nor are they reduced to moral metaphors; instead, their experiences are shaped by a persistent interplay between individual resilience and structural exclusion.

Viewing these characters through the lens of the social model of disability reveals that their challenges stem less from the impairments themselves and more from the prejudice, restrictive laws, and entrenched cultural attitudes that surround them (Siebers, 2008). This perspective positions disability as a construct shaped by the social, political, and economic systems of Westeros, particularly its patriarchal and feudal order. When combined with the framework of postcolonial alterity, it becomes clearer how “othering” operates in the narrative—casting disabled bodies as visible signs of difference, reinforcing symbolic divisions, and relegating them to the periphery of accepted power (Staszak, 2008).

The importance of this issue extends well beyond the realm of fantasy literature. The systems of hierarchy and exclusion depicted in Martin’s world mirror those found in reality, where dominant groups set the terms of value, belonging, and legitimacy. According to Stuart Hall (1997), oppositional pairings such as self/other and normal/abnormal are central to both the symbolic and material contests over power. By unsettling these dichotomies, fantasy fiction can offer an imaginative arena for rethinking social structures. In *A Song of Ice and Fire*, scenes in which disabled characters assert agency or resist marginalization create such openings, even though the narrative at times falls back on familiar compensatory patterns that tie acceptance to exceptional talents or achievements.

In this way, the research adds to wider debates on how disability is portrayed in literature, demonstrating that fantasy as a genre has the capacity to both challenge and perpetuate ableist assumptions. It emphasizes the importance of critically examining how fictional works frame disability—whether as a condition of exclusion, a foundation for unconventional forms of authority, or a means of reflecting on the moral dimensions of inclusion.

1.3 Literature Review

Fantasy, Postcolonialism, and the ‘Other’

Fantasy has long served as a repository of cultural memory, weaving imagined landscapes together with shared histories and collective identities. Its frequent evocation of medieval elements—elaborate secondary worlds, codes of chivalry, and archetypal heroes—often mirrors prevailing cultural traditions and entrenched social hierarchies (Stableford, 2009). George R. R. Martin’s *A Song of Ice and Fire* (ASOIAF) stands as a richly realized work of high fantasy that convinces readers of its internal credibility, even as it departs from the real world, situating its narratives within a meticulously crafted and intricate secondary universe.

Postcolonial scholarship has highlighted how speculative genres, including fantasy and science fiction, often engage with alterity. Langer (2011) notes that these genres share with colonial discourse the construction of alien or unfamiliar figures as objects of fascination, fear, or domination. Early speculative fiction, emerging alongside nineteenth-century colonial expansion, often reproduced imperial ideologies (Rieder, 2008). The trope of the “Other”—whether the grotesque alien or the unclaimed territory ripe for conquest—functions as a “twin myth” of both colonialism and speculative fiction (Maurer, 2014). Such patterns underscore how dominant cultures define themselves through exclusion, positioning the “Self” against the “Other.”

Disability in Literature and Fantasy

From the 1970s onward, disability studies have worked to contest literary stereotypes and advocate for more inclusive portrayals (Salem, 2006; Stemp, 2004). In earlier literary traditions, characters with disabilities were frequently absent altogether or restricted to narrow archetypes—such as the villain, the object of pity, or the moral exemplar—thereby reinforcing ableist assumptions (Cheyne, 2019). This tendency was especially evident in fantasy works drawing on medievalist themes, where disability was often depicted as a sign of divine retribution or as evidence of moral failing (Garland-Thomson, 2017).

In recent decades, critical scholarship has increasingly treated disability as a socially constructed category, one shaped by cultural attitudes and institutional constraints (Siebers, 2008). Within speculative fiction, Allan & Cheyne (2020) and Tillman (2023) demonstrate that questioning the rigid divide between “normal” and “abnormal” allows for richer, more nuanced portrayals. Similarly, Attebery (2022) point to fantasy narratives that present disabled characters as dynamic agents rather than static emblems. These perspectives are essential, as disability—like other expressions of alterity—is deeply entangled with broader structures of social and political power.

Martin’s Divergence from Genre Norms

Classic high fantasy authors such as J.R.R. Tolkien and C.S. Lewis often either omitted characters with disabilities or associated physical impairment with moral corruption. Martin breaks from this tradition by positioning disabled figures—most prominently Tyrion Lannister and Bran Stark—at the center of political intrigue, moral dilemmas, and mystical narratives. As Kozinsky (2015) observes, Martin employs bodily change as a way to probe questions of identity and personal agency. Likewise, Wilson (2020) and Wang (2022) contend that *A Song of Ice and Fire* presents disability as a construct shaped by the series' patriarchal and stratified social order.

By placing disability at the core of his protagonists' identities without allowing it to be their sole defining feature, Martin challenges conventional genre patterns. Tyrion's sharp intellect and political skill, along with Bran's supernatural abilities as a greenseer, complicate the view of disability as entirely restrictive. At the same time, these traits invite reflection on whether a character's prominence in the narrative is still being secured through compensatory tropes.

Societal Stigma and Alterity in ASOIAF

Within Westeros, disability often serves as an immediately visible sign of "Otherness," attracting ridicule, social exclusion, and entrenched marginalization. Snyder and Mitchell's (2019) discussion of "Cultural locations of disability" is useful here, as it underscores how dominant groups frequently deny disabled people control over their own narratives. Tyrion's dwarfism, for example, is culturally framed as a mark of inferiority (Donnelly, 2016), yet Martin's storytelling grants him notable agency to push back against these limitations. In a similar vein, Bran's paralysis functions as both a source of vulnerability and a catalyst for transformation, situating him simultaneously within and in opposition to the hierarchies that determine value in Westerosi culture.

Placing *A Song of Ice and Fire* within the wider push for inclusive representation highlights the importance of linking postcolonial theory with disability studies. This perspective reveals how speculative fiction can operate as a space that both reinforces and challenges prevailing ideas about bodily difference, positioning Martin's narrative as a compelling case study at the crossroads of disability and alterity.

1.4 Theoretical Framework

This research integrates three intersecting frameworks—postcolonial alterity, the social model of disability, and Emmanuel Levinas's ethics of the Other—to examine portrayals of disability in George R. R. Martin's *A Song of Ice and Fire* (ASOIAF). Viewed collectively, these perspectives show that marginalization arises less from the impairments themselves and more from cultural, political, and systemic obstacles, while also illustrating how an ethical commitment to the "Other" can challenge entrenched ableist and patriarchal structures.

1. Postcolonial Alterity

Alterity refers to the condition of being regarded as fundamentally "different" from a dominant self or norm. Originating in the Western philosophical tradition, the concept has expanded within the social sciences and humanities to include distinctions based on gender, ethnicity, nationality, religion, and physical ability (Staszak, 2008). In postcolonial theory, it is closely linked to imbalanced power dynamics, whereby dominant groups establish the standards of normalcy and consign those who diverge from them to the societal margins.

In speculative fiction, the dynamics of alterity are often intensified, with characters whose physical differences or cultural dislocation marking them as "Other" within imagined social hierarchies. Tyrion Lannister and Bran Stark illustrate this pattern in Westeros, where their disabilities position them outside the dominant order. Framing disability through the lens of postcolonial alterity places it alongside other marginalized identities—whether defined by race, gender, or colonial history—and exposes the ways in which speculative worlds both replicate and, at times, challenge systems of exclusion (Chataika & Goodley, 2024; Fong, J. H., & Feng 2021).

2. The Social Model of Disability

The social model counters the medical perspective that treats disability as an individual flaw, instead defining it as a condition produced and sustained by environmental, cultural, and institutional obstacles (Oliver, 1990). From this standpoint, Tyrion's dwarfism and Bran's paralysis are not intrinsically disabling; their limiting effects arise from social stigma, discriminatory attitudes, and systemic barriers.

Historically, literature has often perpetuated ableist stereotypes—depicting villains whose deformities signal moral decay, victims defined entirely by passivity, or "inspirational" figures valued only for transcending their impairments (Salem, 2006; Cheyne, 2019). Within fantasy, these portrayals frequently associate disability with moral failing or supernatural retribution (Garland-Thomson, 2017). More recent scholarship, however, points to a gradual shift toward richer characterizations in which disabled figures are afforded both agency and political significance (Latham-Mintus & Landes, 2023; López, 2020). Martin's work exemplifies this shift, placing disabled protagonists at the center of moral and political conflicts while also revealing the persistence of prejudice.

3. Levinas's Ethics of the Other

Emmanuel Levinas (1961) offers a framework for understanding alterity in moral terms. In *Totality and Infinity*, he argues that ethical responsibility arises in the face-to-face encounter, where the Self is called to respond to the Other without subsuming them into its own frameworks. The Other, "absolutely Other," resists possession, comprehension, or assimilation: "If one could possess, grasp, and know the Other, it would not be other. Possessing, knowing, and grasping are synonyms of power" (Levinas, 1987b).

Levinas reframes fraternity not as biological kinship but as intersubjectivity, where the human status itself implies an ethical relation. This requires resisting the impulse to reduce difference to sameness and instead acknowledging the Other's irreducible identity. As Bradley

(2004) notes, Levinas shifts ethics away from epistemological mastery toward care, making responsibility for the Other the foundation of morality.

Applied to ASOIAF, this perspective highlights moments when Tyrion's political acumen forces his detractors to engage with him beyond his stature, or when Bran's mystical role as the Three-Eyed Raven compels recognition of his personhood despite his paralysis. These interactions model an ethics grounded not in charity but in the duty to respect difference.

4. Intersections and Application

These three frameworks converge in rejecting simplistic binaries—able/disabled, self/other, normal/abnormal. Postcolonial alterity contextualizes disability within broader systems of domination; the social model identifies the structural barriers sustaining marginalization; Levinas's ethics underscores the moral imperative to engage with difference without erasure.

Viewed through this combined framework, Tyrion and Bran appear as characters who both negotiate and, at times, disrupt the systems designed to limit them. Their story arcs demonstrate how fantasy can present disability as simultaneously a locus of oppression and a wellspring of agency, inviting readers to reconsider notions of heroism, belonging, and the politics of difference.

2. Method

This study employs a qualitative textual analysis to examine the representation of disability as a type of alterity in George R. R. Martin's *ASOIAF*. The analysis focuses on close readings of character interactions, narrative structure, and thematic development across the five published novels in the series:

- *A Game of Thrones* (1996).
- *A Clash of Kings* (1998).
- *A Storm of Swords* (2000).
- *A Feast for Crows* (2005).
- *Dance with Dragons* (2011).

These texts were selected because, taken together, they form the entirety of the main series currently in print, providing a complete view of how disability is embedded in Martin's fictional world. Although several characters in *ASOIAF* experience forms of physical or psychological impairment, this study concentrates on Tyrion Lannister and Bran Stark.

This focus is grounded in three considerations; narrative centrality, range of disability types and depth of character arcs. Both characters occupy major positions within the overarching plot and are granted extensive point-of-view chapters, which enable a deeper analysis of their internal perspectives and lived experiences. Additionally, Tyrion's congenital physical difference (dwarfism) and Bran's acquired impairment (paralysis) present distinct experiences of disability, enabling a comparative analysis of how each character is socially constructed and narratively framed. Finally, as both Tyrion and Bran undergo substantial shifts in power, agency, and self-definition over the course of the series, their characters become ideal for exploring the intersection between disability, identity, and socio-political structures.

While the existence of other disabled characters, such as Jaime Lannister or Sandor Clegane, are acknowledged, they are not examined in depth because their impairments receive less sustained narrative and thematic development directly tied to the concept of alterity.

The narrative analysis employed in this study further engaged with how disability is integrated into plotlines, how point-of-view narration shapes reader perception, and how dialogues, internal monologues, and symbolic motifs contribute to the construction of disabled identities. Special attention was given to moments of relegation, empowerment, and resistance. Attention was paid to how disability was embedded in plot development, dialogue, narrative voice, and shifts in character agency. In addition, more focus was also given to the intersection of disability with other identity markers—such as class, gender, and lineage—to reveal how layered forms of discrimination operate in the series. Through the engagement with the theoretical framework, the analysis thus, ensures that interpretations are both textually grounded and theoretically informed.

3. Discussion and Analysis

3.1 Marginalization:

The concept of marginalization was first introduced in 1928 by Robert Park in his essay titled "Human Migration and the Marginal Man" (Park 1928). In this essay, Park described the irritated pressures underwent by immigrants due to their involvement in overlapping societies. He termed the resulting lack of integration and status as an "outsider" in relation to dominant cultures as "Marginality." The term "marginalization" has evolved to encompass multiple meanings. Consequently, it has three fundamentally distinct interpretations: a) underdevelopment, lack of resources, distance; b) relation, oppression, closure; and c) lack of cultural integration, lack of adaptation to norms (e.g., "culture of poverty," "urban underclass"), (Bernt & Colini 2013).

Within the understanding of alterity, the marginalization of disability as 'Other' is a deliberate strategy to maintain social inequalities. Able-bodied men assume the roles of Subject and Absolute, relegating disability to the positions of Object and Other. In postcolonial discourse, the concept of identity is closely tied to one's sense of place. According to Doreen Massey (1994), when the connection between one's traditional sense of place and original roots is broken, an individual becomes completely rootless, leading to a feeling of

emotional emptiness.

These outer groups can be described by employing the concept of “the other” or “otherness.” The inner groups define themselves by identifying others, portraying them as personifying the flaws and weaknesses that the controlled assert to lack. Nevertheless, even as they marginalized or repressed, individuals still seize autonomy, the capability to attain preferences on their own behalf, even if it is only to resist the dominant authorities (Pavlac loc 2017).

3.1.1 “All Dwarfs Are Bastards”: Tyrion’s Dwarfism from Monster to Mastermind

Then, characters with disabilities in *ASOIAF* are often assigned specific roles based on societal expectations and perceptions. These roles significantly impact the way they navigate their lives. Thus, the concentration will be on how disabled characters' backgrounds and assigned roles influence their marginality. The first character to analyze is Tyrion Lannister who has a physical disability. Tyrion Lannister was born a dwarf, and his mother, Joanna Lannister, perished during labor. From that moment, Tyrion has been teased, despised, and accused of his mother's death, even by his own father and sister. Being a ‘dwarf’ in the world of Martin’s series makes finding his place in society that marginalized him, is a challenging task. Tywin Lannister, Tyrion’s father, reduces Tyrion’s worth to legality, lineage, and physical form:

Tywin, Tyrion’s father: “You are my son. That was no less than you deserved,”

Tyrion’s father: “All dwarfs are bastards in their father's eyes,” (Martin, 1996, *A Game of Thrones*, Tyrion, p. 67).

This quote emphasizes the portrayal of disability as otherness within the fantasy world of the story. These statements frame Tyrion as an abomination tolerated only because of the impossibility of denying his paternity. His position as Other is reinforced by his father’s rejection, despite Tyrion’s sharp intellect and loyalty. Here, Tyrion's disability becomes the marker of his exclusion, not his capabilities.

Here, Tywin defines Tyrion as the Other, aligning with Levinas' (1961) theoretical framework of alterity, rejecting Tyrion's self-conception and highlighting the repression that restricts him to the function of a disabled character. Although intended to decry Tyrion, this quote inadvertently praises him by contrasting his advice to that of one of the most skilled political participants in Westeros. Though indirect, Tyrion’s readiness to give his opinion in this case highlights the potential to challenge rigid societal roles within the fantasy world of the story. This serves as an immediate sign that fresh social and cultural insights can be succeeded, aligning with the perspectives of Jackson (1981) and Johnson (2015). Furthermore, this highlights the marginalization of Disability. However, Martin portrays Tyrion Lannister as a successful fictional disabled character who has received widespread acclaim from readers and viewers worldwide, as Verhoeve (2011) puts it:

“Was there ever a wittier, more sarcastic, more interesting character in the realm of fantasy literature? If there is, I sure haven’t discovered it yet. Tyrion carries a lot of the story as the saga unfolds” (p. 23).

Despite being the queen's brother, Tyrion Lannister is initially excluded from power due to his disability of ‘dwarfism’. His growing resistance to social expectations further contributes to his othering. However, he remains firmly positioned within patriarchal structures. This paradoxical positioning, being both an insider of the founded positioning and an Other, along with the dynamics of the imaginary world of the story, allows him to develop into a significant power player in his own right. On the other hand, Tyrion's experience is marked by constant ridicule and underestimation. His family, particularly his father Tywin, views him as a source of shame, which profoundly affects Tyrion's self-perception and interactions with others. Tyrion's sarcastic self-awareness becomes a defense mechanism: “Do tell my Lord Father. My mother died birthing me, and he’s never been sure... I wear my deformity like a badge of honor,” (Martin, 1996, *A Game of Thrones*, Tyrion, p. 67).” By reclaiming the language of shame, Tyrion asserts agency. Rather than internalize humiliation, he uses it as armor—an act that aligns with the social model of disability. The impairment is not the true limitation; society's response is. This aligns with the social model of disability, wherein societal attitudes—not impairments—cause marginalization (Siebers, 2008).

Martin’s character Tyrion Lannister and his empowering journey. Tyrion's success story is attributed to his wit, strategies, and emotional intelligence. Martin's writing delves realistically into the psyche of a disabled person, highlighting Tyrion's strength and complexity Tyrion expresses this by saying: “I have been a monster all my life,” (Martin, 2000, *A Storm of Swords*, Tyrion, p. 1126)).

Dwarfism is not merely a bodily condition; it is also a societal function and an intellectual representation. Historically, dwarfs have held ambiguous positions in European courts, often serving as jesters who could speak freely without fear of punishment. This condition afforded them some protection and affection but also exposed them to abuse. Dwarfs elicit both amusement and repulsion (Pritchard 2017). Thus, Martin uses Tyrion’s marginalization to reflect the complex representation of the world of *ASOIAF*. Tyrion’s marginalization is further deepened by his father's cruel indictment:

Tywin Lannister: “You are an ill-made, spiteful little creature full of envy, lust, and low cunning. Men's laws give you the right to bear my name and display my colors since I cannot prove that you are not mine. And to teach me humility, the gods have condemned me to watch you waddle about wearing that proud lion that was my father's sigil and his father's before him.”

Tyrion: “I am the Imp, and I will stand tall,” (Martin, 2000, *A Storm of Swords*, Tywin, p. 1050).

His response becomes a turning point: he acknowledges his difference but refuses to be diminished by it. Here, Martin emphasizes the

paradox of Tyrion's identity—marginalized in body, but central in mind and narrative power. This moment is raw and emotional—an expression not only of exclusion but internalization. Tyrion has absorbed society's view of him as monstrous. Yet in speaking it aloud, he disrupts its power. For example, the counsel Tyrion grants to Jon Snow (commonly thought to be 'Lord Eddard Stark's bastard') shows that Tyrion's identity as the Other intersects with other forms of marginality. He relates to Jon Snow and Bran Stark as fellow outcasts:

Tyrion Lannister: "Let me give you some advice, bastard. Never forget what you are. The rest of the world will not. Wear it like armor and it can never be used to hurt you. I am malformed, scarred, and small," (Martin, 1996, *A Game of Thrones*, Tyrion, p. 66).

These moments show that Tyrion, while marginalized, recognizes his position and uses it to foster solidarity. While being a "bastard" might appear distinct from suffering a disability, Tyrion insightfully notes that two conditions imply managing external stigma and marginalization. He demonstrates an awareness of how disability is used to exclude, and how that stigma can be subverted. Nevertheless, this reveals Tywin's assessment of his son's worth is fundamentally tied to Tyrion's position as 'The Hand'. This aligns with the merits dictated by social norms within the patriarchal shapes that sustain the society of Westeros, inserting authority within the hegemonic societies that eliminate Tyrion due to his disability. He expresses awareness of the societal view:

"The dwarf's stunted legs made him sway as he walked. What you see is a dwarf. If I had been born a peasant, they might have left me out in the woods to die. Alas, I was born a Lannister of Casterly Rock. Things are expected of me.. Let them see that their words can cut you, and you'll never be free of the mockery" (Martin, 1996, *A Game of Thrones*, Tyrion, p. 66).

Tyrion does not deny the cruelty of the world. He simply refuses to be shaped by it. His role as Hand of the King and his keen political insights complicate the idea that power and respect are reserved for able-bodied men. Despite his dwarfism, Tyrion becomes a crucial power player—illustrating that disability, though a basis for exclusion, does not preclude leadership or wisdom. His marginalization is real, but so is his resistance. His wit, emotional intelligence, and resilience allow him to navigate and subvert a society that continually seeks to reduce him.

At this point, one can notice that Tyrion recognizes the marginalization of disability. This tactical standing is facilitated by what Beem (2020) describes as Tyrion's possession of the chair of "Hand of the King". Many marginalized disabled individuals are coming to realize that using neutral term like "differently abled" or rejecting to identify as disabled does not eliminate the stigma. In fact, it can leave them less prepared and protected (WHO 2011). At each stage, he learns his place in the margins, and he manipulates and subverts the hegemonic to gradual gains. Despite the alterity surrounding him, he manages to navigate and grow within this system, often without others recognizing his progress. Tyrion's dwarfism places him in a marginalized position within his own family and society. His role as the "Imp" or "Halfman" defines him. Despite his intellectual capabilities, he is constantly reminded of his physical limitations and treated with disdain.

3.1.2 Bran Stark and the Rewriting of Power

The second major character with disability is Bran Stark. Bran Stark's transformation from an aspiring knight to a paralyzed mystic reveals another form of disability marginalization within the series. His fall from the tower—caused by Jaime Lannister to conceal an incestuous affair—leaves him paralyzed:

"He is broken, Maester Luwin said. The fall has shattered his back...., His old life was gone. No more climbing. If he fell from the bed, he could never get up" (Martin, 1996, *A Game of Thrones*, Bran, p. 157).

Similarly, Bran Stark's fall and subsequent paralysis redefine his role within society. Initially aspiring to be a knight, he must forge a new identity, ultimately becoming the mystical Three-Eyed Raven. Unlike Tyrion, Bran's disability is later reinterpreted as a source of power, aligning with fantasy tropes where disability is compensated by supernatural abilities. However, Bran's journey also underscores the societal limitations placed on disabled individuals. Yet, unlike Tyrion, Bran's representation and status as 'other' draw on fantasy tropes that provide him with opportunities to overcome social limitations.

Martin incorporates various representations of disability: genetic, intellectual, physical, and acquired. By eluding conventional depictions, Martin introduces a new perception of disabled characters. In his novels, they are not villains; rather, they are protagonists who endear themselves to the reader. Despite Bran's paralysis, Martin does not depict him sentimentally (Pascal, J., Massie & Mayer 2014). His routing of the world of the story follows the method of a heroic voyage, reflecting the transformative trials, departure, and return track that enables the shift from child to mature person (Furby and Hines, 2012). Bran's narrative shifts from one of physical heroism to one of mystical insight. His loss of bodily autonomy challenges traditional patriarchal ideals of strength. Initially clinging to the hope of knighthood, he is forced to confront the permanent reality of disability:

"[I] must learn to live with this.

The boy I was... died at Winterfell... I don't want to be crippled forever... I can still be a knight" (Martin, 1996, *A Game of Thrones*, Bran, p. 161)

Here, Bran begins negotiating between physical loss and symbolic power. Unlike Tyrion, whose political acumen keeps him active in society, Bran's worth becomes rooted in his mystical transformation into the Three-Eyed Raven. This reimagining of power—mental over

physical—challenges the able-bodied standard. Jojen Reed and Bran's exchange reveals the tension between inherited respect and actual empowerment:

Jojen Reed: "You are your father's son and Robb's brother. We need you here,"

Bran: "They respect me because I am Eddard Stark's son," (Martin, 2011, *A Dance with Dragons*, Bran, p. 749).

Even as Bran begins to harness supernatural abilities, others recognize him not for his transformation, but for his lineage. His status remains tethered to identity markers that predate his disability, underscoring how society privileges lineage and strength over personal evolution. Bran's disability leads to a mixture of pity and respect. While he is marginalized in terms of physical capability, his mystical abilities and role as the Three-Eyed Raven earn him a unique position in the narrative, challenging conventional notions of power. It again highlights the failure of the hegemonic arrangement to enforce compliance and establishes a systemic weakness that the fantasy world of the story enables him to manipulate. These passages highlight the harmfulness of non-disabled society. However, non-disability doesn't affect all individuals with disabilities equally; other components constantly obtain place.

The ideals imposed upon him reveal an overt cultural and institutional failure of hegemonic masculinity, as per Connell and Messerschmidt (2005). The containment of disability fails, allowing Bran to symbolically reject the patriarchal order and forge a disabled identity that does not conform. Reflecting on Jackson's (1981) claim that fantasy questions physical, attitudinal, and intellectual restrictions, Bran consistently exhibits a desire to be more than his disability dictates, extending beyond his physical limitations and marking him as the Other, he challenges disability binaries and prevents him from conforming to an imposed marginalization. (Pulrang 2021) says that there's pervasive, general non-disability that impacts "our legal rights, employment prospects, and basic mobility." Additionally, there's also the everyday cheap insults and bullying. Regardless of years of development, such behaviors still affect people with disabilities.

While it is problematic to define individuals primarily by their disability, it is also crucial to recognize that disability can be an intrinsic part of one's identity and should be studied with attention to the experience of being "disabled" in a particular society (Mitchell and Snyder, 2000). Likewise, the unsuccessful suppression of Bran is worsened by his aggressive exclusion from the patriarchal society of Westeros immediately after his fall. Bran's arc critiques hegemonic masculinity and demonstrates that marginalization can become a form of resistance and power. Though Westeros attempts to suppress disability through exclusion, Bran transcends the limits imposed upon him. He does not overcome disability by erasing it; rather, he reinvents its meaning within the narrative structure of fantasy.

Despite others' doubts: "You are not the boy you were," (Martin, 2011, *A Dance with Dragons*, Bran, p. 748). However, this dismissal of Bran's capabilities as a leader is due to his failure to be a traditional male heir that exists within social systems. These systems are ineffective, and they do not work for the benefit of society (Coopey 2022). Bran continues to defy expectations. His mystical rise marks what Katherine Fowkes (2010) calls an "ontological rupture"—a reshaping of identity that transcends physical form. His crowning as king symbolizes a radical redefinition of authority, rooted not in warfare but in vision, wisdom, and acceptance of otherness. In both Tyrion and Bran, Martin creates characters who embody alterity not as weakness, but as potential—challenging ableist assumptions and reimagining what it means to lead, resist, and transform.

3.2 Inclusion, Participation & Autonomy

Societal attitudes toward disability in *ASOIAF* often reflect real-world prejudices. Disabled characters such as Tyrion and Bran experience social limitations yet reclaim space through intellect, resilience, and adaptation. Tyrion's political maneuvering and Bran's mystical transformation highlight how inclusion and autonomy function in a society that defines normalcy through power and physical ability.

His autonomy and independence are demonstrated when he is described as major player in taking major political decisions. Tyrion refuses to be a pawn, asserting autonomy by participation: "I will not be a pawn in their games... [1] spoke to lords and ladies, influencing decisions from behind the scenes," (Martin, 2011, *A Dance with Dragons*, Tyrion, p. 983). Martin's high epic literary series *ASOIAF* takes place in a fictional, medieval-like world that focuses on maintaining a flawed patriarchal system. This system prioritizes dominant masculine power, relegating other groups to positions of otherness. Despite the oppressive patriarchal system, Tyrion's intellect earns him a seat at the table. His role as Hand and advisor positions him within, yet always slightly outside, dominant power. Tyrion recognizes the value of wealth in navigating societal barriers, highlighting how privilege can mitigate exclusion—but never erase it.

Martin frames Tyrion's development in phases—familial suppression, forced exile, and political assertion. Coopey (2022) argues that these phases reflect growth from dependency to autonomy. He was at court every day, giving counsel, fighting battles with his wits instead of a sword," (Martin, 2000, *A Storm of Swords*, Tyrion, p. 938). His success challenges the assumption that disability precludes competence. Tyrion navigates systems of power while remaining visibly marginalized. Martin also uses Tyrion as a figure of knowledge and adaptation. His assistance to Bran—designing a saddle—demonstrates the importance of accessibility.

Moreover, Martin's fantasy series is praised for its inclusion of disabled as important characters within its medieval setting, particularly focusing on disabilities and their roles as hero in the masculine medieval world.

At this point, Tyrion once again highlights how valuable wealth can be, especially for people with disabilities. This is a reality that disability culture often reluctantly acknowledges. While money may not buy happiness, it can significantly enhance independence and opportunity for disabled individuals who are fortunate enough to have it. Tyrion's dwarfism is not a fantastical race as in Tolkien, but a human condition marked by cultural stigma. He turns to books and knowledge as both escape and empowerment.

Although Tyrion is a complex character, his development follows a moderately direct path as he secretly pursues opportunities to understand the art of politics within the power systems of Westeros that keenly reject his group i.e. disability. His participation and autonomy exhibited even though he was marginalized due to his disability. Tyrion's dwarfism is not a fantastical race as in Tolkien, but a human condition marked by cultural stigma. He turns to books and knowledge as both escape and empowerment:

“See that you return the book to the shelves. Be gentle with the Valyrian scrolls, the parchment is very dry. Ayrmidon's Engine of War is quite rare and yours is the only copy I've ever seen. I was born this way... I have to find my own way,” (Martin, 1996, *A Game of Thrones*, Tyrion, p. 150).

Despite his family's prominence, Tyrion is illustrated as being despised by his own family members. Lord Tywin and Cersei particularly hold disdain for him. “His sister peered at him with the same expression of faint distaste she had worn since the day he was born,” (Martin, 2000, *A Storm of Swords*, Tyrion, p. 1127). This highlights the lack of social support and the neglect of self-worth by his family, which plays a predominant role in the social model of disability. Tyrion embraces derogatory names to diminish their power to harm, “I am Tyrion Lannister, the Imp,” (Martin, 1996, *A Game of Thrones*, Tyrion, p. 349).

Hence, a genetic disability often forms a more integral part of a person's identity than an obtained one. Those with inherited conditions, such as being a little person, blind, or deaf, may not perceive their disorder as malfunction until it is pointed out by others (CDC 2024). In social thoughts, dwarfs such as Tyrion are often viewed as social outcasts, freaks, or targets of pity. The characteristics of disability help the attributes of being the other socially. Tyrion Lannister, above all characters, appeared as the main disabled character. He is 'the other,' marginalized and mocked due to his disability. According to Dearman (2016), Tyrion epitomizes the disabled protagonist prototype for double purposes. Initially, he had the highest clear physical disability and has encountered its damaging outcomes in his entire life. Second, Tyrion functions as an advisor and help for the other trio disabled characters in dealing with their impairments, as he is the expert on the subject.

Therefore, The inclusion of disabled characters in positions of influence, such as Tyrion's political role and Bran's ascension to the throne, challenges ableist assumptions. However, their paths remain constrained by societal expectations. Tyrion's successes do not erase his outsider status, while Bran's rule is framed as an anomaly rather than a precedent for broader inclusion. Braye (2020) says stereotypical disabling imagery places disabled people unhelpfully outside the rest of society. Tyrion's success challenges the assumption that disability precludes competence. Tyrion navigates systems of power while remaining visibly marginalized. Martin also uses Tyrion as a figure of knowledge and adaptation. His assistance to Bran—designing a saddle—demonstrates the importance of inclusion and participation:

Tyrion: “With the right horse and saddle, even a cripple can ride.”

Bran: “I'm not a cripple!”

Tyrion: “Then I'm not a dwarf! My father will rejoice to hear it. ... can't be a knight, but can be something else,”
(Martin, 1996, *A Game of Thrones*, Tyrion, p. 167).

Tyrion's recognition of stigma and strategy to subvert it echo the realities of ableism. Both he and Bran reframe disability as an opportunity for new forms of agency, rejecting the binary of normal/abnormal. Their roles reinforce the idea that inclusion requires both social transformation and self-assertion.

3.2.1 Bran's Autonomy and Transformation

In this world adhering to patriarchal values, disabled protagonists like Tyrion and Bran do not confine to societal tasks given to them. A crippled boy such as Bran Stark becomes central to the story. Before he leaves home in search of belonging, he seeks to display his autonomy and independence, Bran's assertion of usefulness comes early in his adjustment to paralysis: “I can still be useful, even if I can't walk,” (Martin, 1996, *A Game of Thrones*, Bran, p. 169). This usefulness that he mentions is asserted by inclusion and participation in this patriarchal medieval society. Even from his bed, Bran assumes authority: “He ruled Winterfell in his brother's absence, issuing commands from his bed,” (Martin, 1999, *A Clash of Kings*, Bran, p. 236). Bran challenges the established order from inside, employing the prospects offered by the imaginary world of the story to disturb and redefine his publicly stated position. These quotes illustrate Bran's determination to remain relevant and respected, asserting autonomy even as he navigates physical limitations.

His perspective evolves with his transformation: “The world is different through these eyes,” (Martin, 2011, *A Dance with Dragons*, Bran, p. 748). Bran's new identity as the Three-Eyed Raven positions him both inside and outside the power structures of Westeros. His mystical sight allows him to see beyond the limitations placed upon him by patriarchal norms. Still, his transformation is not without irony; he must give up societal expectations of mobility and masculinity to gain wisdom and insight. In rejecting the binaries imposed on him—cripple vs. knight, child vs. ruler—he achieves a form of agency that is unique and significant.

The characters with disabilities are influenced by their personal experiences and societal interactions. These characters often find themselves redefining their sense of self in response to their disabilities (Schubart and Gjelsvik 2016). Thus, Bran undergoes a significant transformation after his fall. Initially a young boy dreaming of knighthood, his paralysis forces him into a new role as an alterity of disability. His journey from Bran the climber to Bran the Broken highlights the shift in societal perception and self-identity.

Since he will not walk another time, the idea that it is ‘better to be dead than disabled’ certainly not fully disappears. This belief is not just a personal feeling; it acts almost as an ideology that continues to influence realistic medicinal findings and instincts arguments on

significant strategies like ‘assisted suicide’ (Hansen 2015). Though still marginalized as a disabled character, Bran carves a place for himself by redefining what leadership and strength look like in a world rooted in traditional masculinity. His journey subverts the ideology that it is “better to be dead than disabled”—a pervasive belief in both fantasy and reality. While Bran becomes king only after all other claimants are gone, his unlikely ascension reflects a disruption of the patriarchal order.

Though he does not pave the way for other disabled characters, Bran’s rise is symbolically powerful. Carroll (2015) and Evans (2022) also observe that fantasy offers space for alternate structures of power. Bran embodies what Connell (1987) calls a challenge to hegemonic masculinity—not through brute strength, but through knowledge, empathy, and vision.

Bran voices his fear and resolution in one of his most vulnerable moments: “The world is a frightening place now... I must be strong” (Martin, 2011, *A Dance with Dragons*, Bran, p. 748). Ultimately, Bran’s narrative underscores fantasy’s capacity to dismantle binaries and grant autonomy to marginalized characters. His transformation does not erase his disability; it repositions it as central to a new kind of sovereignty.

4. Conclusion

In *A Song of Ice and Fire*, the experiences of Tyrion Lannister and Bran Stark demonstrate that disability arises less from the impairment itself than from the societal systems that enforce exclusion. Both navigate and resist ableist and patriarchal norms, asserting agency through political acumen, personal resilience, and reimagined forms of power. Martin’s depiction reflects the principles of the social model of disability and Levinas’s ethics of the Other, presenting inclusion as a moral responsibility rather than a charitable allowance.

Although the narrative challenges conventional fantasy norms by placing disabled protagonists at its center, it simultaneously leans on compensatory tropes: Tyrion’s sharp intellect and political skill, and Bran’s mystical powers, risk implying that disabled characters must possess exceptional abilities to justify their central role. This dynamic mirrors a wider cultural tendency to grant conditional acceptance to difference.

The series creates an opening for reimagining disability within speculative fiction, prompting readers to reflect on who is valued, whose perspectives are amplified, and how societal norms are formed. While it does not fully dismantle ableist structures, *A Song of Ice and Fire* illustrates the genre’s potential to challenge exclusion and envision alternative forms of belonging. Further study could broaden this analysis to include other disabled characters in the saga, providing a more comprehensive understanding of how Martin’s narrative navigates the tension between resisting and reinforcing ableist norms.

5. Limitations and Future Directions

This study focuses primarily on Tyrion and Bran because of their central roles in the narrative and their sustained engagement with themes surrounding disability. Other characters with impairments—such as Jaime Lannister, Sandor Clegane, and Hodor—are discussed only briefly, though their storylines could yield valuable insights into the broader range of disability portrayals in *A Song of Ice and Fire*. The analysis is also limited to the five published novels, leaving space for future work to incorporate the anticipated volumes, should they appear. Further research might compare Martin’s saga with other high fantasy narratives, examine how disability representations are received across different cultural contexts, or investigate how reader responses correspond with—or diverge from—the text’s intended framing.

In conclusion, Martin’s narrative both disrupts and perpetuates aspects of ableist discourse. By placing disabled characters at the center of political and ethical conflicts, the series opens a space for rethinking long-standing assumptions about embodiment, agency, and inclusion within fantasy literature. Yet its dependence on compensatory tropes limits the extent of this challenge, producing a representation that is progressive in ambition but partial in execution. This layered dynamic positions *A Song of Ice and Fire* as a rich site for continued debate on how speculative fiction can simultaneously mirror and transform cultural attitudes toward disability.

6. Directions for Future Research

Further scholarship could delve into the examination of a wider range of disabled characters in ASOIAF to assess whether the patterns identified with Tyrion and Bran apply more broadly. In addition, cross-genre comparisons with other fantasy or speculative works may be considered to evaluate how disability is framed across different narrative traditions. This study might also pave the path for an exploration of cross-cultural perspectives, considering how non-Western fantasy narratives approach disability and alterity.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia for its support.

Authors’ contributions

Hayder Ali Kadhim Gebreen: Conception and design of the study; qualitative textual analysis; drafting the manuscript; integrating revisions in response to peer review; final approval.

Dr. Ravichandran Vengadasamy: Supervision; development and refinement of theoretical framework; critical revision for important intellectual content; final approval.

Dr. Jeslyn Sharnita Amarasekera: Supervision; methodological guidance; critical revision for clarity and coherence; final approval.

Funding

This research did not receive external funding from public, commercial, or not-for-profit agencies. The work was conducted with institutional support as part of the authors' academic responsibilities.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Informed consent

Not applicable (no human subjects involved).

Ethics approval

Not applicable (textual/archival study with no human participants).

Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned; externally double-blind peer reviewed.

Data availability statement

The materials supporting the findings (passage selections, coding notes) are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. No proprietary or personally identifiable data are involved.

Data sharing statement

No additional data are available.

Open access

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

References

- Allan, K., & Cheyne, R. (2020). Science Fiction, Disability, Disability Studies A Conversation. *Journal of Literary & Cultural Disability Studies*, 14(4), 387-401. <https://doi.org/10.3828/jlcs.2020.26>
- Attebery, B. (2022). *Fantasy: How It Works*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780192856234.001.0001>
- Beem, C. E. (2020). The royal minorities of Game of Thrones. In Z. E. Rohr & L. Benz (Eds.), *Queenship and the women of Westeros: Female agency and advice in Game of Thrones and A Song of Ice and Fire* (pp. 189-204). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-25041-6_9
- Bernt, M., & Colini, L. (2013). *Exclusion, marginalization and peripheralization: Conceptual concerns in the study of urban inequalities* (No. 49). Working paper. Leibniz-Institut für Regionalentwicklung und Strukturplanung (IRS). Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/10419/228579>
- Bradley, B. D. (2004). *Ethics and alterity: Moral considerability and the Other* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Georgia). ProQuest Dissertations Publishing.
- Braye, S. (2020). "You shall not murder": Atos at the Paralympic games. In *Theology, Disability and Sport* (pp. 40-54). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351215107-4>
- Carroll, S. (2017). Barbarian Colonizers and Postcolonialism in Westeros and Britain. *Game of Thrones versus History: Written in Blood*, 71-84. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119249450.ch5>
- Carroll, S. (2018). *Medievalism in a Song of Ice and Fire and Game of Thrones* (Vol. 12). Boydell & Brewer.
- Chataika, T., & Goodley, D. (Eds.). (2024). *The Routledge handbook of postcolonial disability studies*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003310709>
- Cheyne, R. (2019). *Disability, literature, genre: Representation and affect in contemporary fiction*. Liverpool University Press. 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvs3pp7>
- Connell, R. W. (1987). *Gender and power: Society, the person and sexual politics*. Polity Press.
- Connell, R. W., & Messerschmidt, J. W. (2005). Hegemonic masculinity: Rethinking the concept. *Gender & Society*, 19(6), 829-859. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0891243205278639>

- Coopey, L. (2022). Representation, Otherness and Fantastic Storyworlds: Breaking Gender Binaries and Reworking Identities in Game of Thrones. *Imagining the Impossible: International Journal for the Fantastic in Contemporary Media*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.7146/imaginingtheimpossible.129475>
- Dearman, A. J. (2016). Redefining masculinity through disability in HBO's Game of Thrones (Master's thesis, Southern Utah University). Retrieved from <https://www.suu.edu/hss/comm/masters/capstone/thesis/dearman-a.pdf>
- Donnelly, C. E. (2016). Re-visioning negative archetypes of disability and deformity in fantasy: Wicked, Maleficent, and Game of Thrones. *Disability Studies Quarterly*, 36(4). <https://doi.org/10.18061/dsq.v36i4.5313>
- Evans, T. (2022). *Reimagining Masculinity and Violence in 'Game of Thrones' and 'A Song of Ice and Fire'*. Liverpool University Press.
- Fong, J. H., & Feng, Q. (2021). Toileting disability among older adults in China and role of environmental factors. *Journal of Aging and Health*, 33(10), 852-864. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08982643211015026>
- Foucault, M. (1997). Of other spaces: Utopias and heterotopias. In N. Leach (Ed.), *Rethinking architecture: A reader in cultural theory* (pp. 330-336). Routledge.
- Fowkes, K. A. (2010). *The fantasy film*. John Wiley & Sons. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444320589>
- Furby, T., & Hines, C. (2012). *George R. R. Martin and the fantasy form*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203804452>
- Garland-Thomson, R. (2017). *Extraordinary bodies: Figuring physical disability in American culture and literature* (20th anniversary ed.). Columbia University Press. (pp. 335-374).
- Hall, S. (1997). *Representation: Cultural representations and signifying practices*. SAGE.
- Hansen, N. E. (2015, February 13). "Better dead than disabled, I don't think so!" *UM Today*. Retrieved March 1, 2026, from <https://news.umanitoba.ca/better-dead-than-disabled-i-dont-think-so/>
- Jackson, R. (1981). *Fantasy: The literature of subversion*. Routledge.
- Johnson, C. (2015). Telefantasy. In G. Creeber (Ed.), *The television genre book* (pp. 56-59). BFI.
- Kozinsky, B. (2015). A thousand bloodstained hands: The malleability of flesh and identity. In *Mastering the Game of Thrones: Essays on George R. R. Martin's A Song of Ice and Fire* (pp. 170-188). McFarland.
- Langer, J. (2011). *Postcolonialism and science fiction*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Latham-Mintus, K., & Landes, S. D. (2023). Integrating the social and political dimensions of disability into life course theory. In *Disabilities and the life course* (pp. 11-28). Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S1479-354720230000014002>
- Levinas, E. (1969). *Totality and infinity* (A. Lingis, Trans.). Duquesne University Press.
- Levinas, E. (1987a). *Collected philosophical papers* (A. Lingis, Trans.). Martinus Nijhoff Publishers. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-4364-3>
- Levinas, E. (1987b). *Time and the Other* (R. A. Cohen, Trans.). Duquesne University Press.
- Levinas, E. (1995). *The theory of intuition in Husserl's phenomenology* (A. Orianne, Trans.). Northwestern University Press.
- Levinas, E. (1996). Transcendence and height. In A. T. Peperzak, S. Critchley, & R. Bernasconi (Eds.), *Emmanuel Levinas: Basic philosophical writings* (pp. 11-31). Indiana University Press.
- López, N. (2022). Fantasy/Science-Fiction Rhetorical Analysis: Rhetoricity within Fledgling. *Convergence: Rhetoric and Writing Studies*, 5(1).
- Martin, G. R. R. (1996). *A Game of Thrones*. Harper Voyager.
- Martin, G. R. R. (1998). *A Clash of Kings*. Harper Voyager.
- Martin, G. R. R. (2000). *A Storm of Swords*. Harper Voyager.
- Martin, G. R. R. (2005). *A Feast for Crows*. Harper Voyager.
- Martin, G. R. R. (2011). *A Dance with Dragons*. Harper Voyager.
- Maurer, Y. (2014). *Colonialism and the emergence of science fiction*. Wesleyan University Press.
- Mitchell, D. T., & Snyder, S. L. (2000). *Narrative prosthesis: Disability and the dependencies of discourse*. University of Michigan Press. <https://doi.org/10.3998/mpub.11523>
- Murray, S. (2022). Disability Embodiment, Speculative Fiction, and the Testbed of Futurity. *Journal of Literary & Cultural Disability Studies*, 16(1), 23-39. <https://doi.org/10.3828/jlcs.2021.21>
- Oliver, M. (1990). The politics of disablement—New social movements. In *The politics of disablement* (pp. 112-131). London: Macmillan Education UK. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-20895-1>
- O'Malley, M. (2022). *Imaginary Empires: Women Writers and Alternative Futures in Early US Literature*. LSU Press.,

- Pascal, J., Massie, L., & Mayer, S. (2014). Bringing Elsewhere Home: A Song of Ice and Fire's Ethics of Disability. In K. Fugelso (Ed.), *Boydell and Brewer* (pp. 45-59). <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781782043041-008>
- Pavlac, B. A. (2017). *A concise survey of Western civilization: Supremacies and diversities throughout history* (3rd ed.). Rowman & Littlefield.
- Pritchard, R. (2017). *Court dwarfs: Amusement, abuse, and affection*. The Medieval Disability Glossary Project.
- Pulrang, A. (2021, April 22). *7 core arguments of disability rights*. *Forbes*. Retrieved from <https://www.forbes.com/sites/andrewpulrang/2021/04/22/7-core-arguments-of-disability-rights>
- Salem, L. C. (2006). *Children's literature studies: Cases and discussions*. Libraries Unlimited. ISBN: 1591580897.
- Schubart, R., & Gjelsvik, A. (2016). *Women of ice and fire: Gender, game of thrones and multiple media engagements*. Bloomsbury. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781501302930>
- Siebers, T. (2008). *Disability theory*. University of Michigan Press. <https://doi.org/10.3998/mpub.309723>
- Snyder, S. L., & Mitchell, D. T. (2019). *Cultural locations of disability*. University of Chicago Press.
- Stableford, B. (2009). *The A to Z of fantasy literature*. Scarecrow Press. <https://doi.org/10.5771/9780810863453>
- Staszak, J.-F. (2008). Other/Otherness. In R. Kitchin & N. Thrift (Eds.), *International encyclopedia of human geography* (Vol. 8, pp. 43-47). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-008044910-4.00980-9>
- Stemp, J., (2004). Devices and desires: science fiction, fantasy and disability in literature for young people. *Disability Studies Quarterly* 24(1). <https://doi.org/10.18061/dsq.v24i1.850>
- Tillman, K. D. (2023). Imaginary Empires: Women Writers and Alternative Futures in Early US Literature by Maria O'Malley. *American Literary History*, 35(4), 1913-1916. <https://doi.org/10.1093/alh/ajad170>
- Tolkien, J. R. R. (1939). On fairy-stories. In *Essays presented to Charles Williams* (pp. 38-89). Oxford University Press.
- Verhoeve, R. J. (2011). *Re-Reading a Game of Thrones: A Critical Response to George RR Martin's Fantasy Classic*. Nimble Books LLC.
- Wang, F. (2022). *A brief literary history of disability*. Routledge. 144–161. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003244400>
- Wilson, C. A. (2020). *Medievalism in A Song of Ice and Fire and Game of Thrones of Shiloh Carroll*. DS Brewer, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jacc.13209>
- World Health Organization. (2011). *World report on disability*. WHO Press: Geneva. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241564182>