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#### Abstract

Stress is an issue that has been plaguing the teaching profession in Malaysia. Past studies have shown evidence that workplace stress has a detrimental effect in terms of the health of the employee, as well as the productivity and the economic stability of the country. According to the transactional view of stress, stress is manifested through the person's interpretation of a stressor, and coping mechanism is deployed to handle stress. When coping mechanism fails, the symptoms of stress will start to occur on individuals. This study looked into stress from the transactional view and employed a systematic literature review that looks into stressors, coping mechanisms and the effects of stress. The systematic literature found 29 articles and the findings found that teachers stress is a critical issue in this country caused by numerous stressors. It was also found that studies concerning coping mechanism among teachers were scarce, and stress can have a detrimental effect on teachers' physical health, mental health and professional development. The study concluded by suggesting the development of a new stress index among Malaysian teachers, to help future researchers, teachers, school administrators, and other related parties to look at stress from the full perspectives, rather than just focusing on one aspect of stress.
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## 1. Introduction

The World Health Organisation (2007) termed workplace stress or work-related stress as the response people may have when presented with work demands and pressures that are not matched to their knowledge and abilities, which challenge their ability to cope (World Health Organization, 2007). In a workplace setting, stress can be manifested from a variety of causes, or commonly known as stressors. Particularly, stress in the workplace can be caused by interactions among workers, or the environment of the workplace (Naghieh A, Montgomery P, Bonell CP, Thompson M, Aber JL., 2015). Stress is normally treated as a common obstacle in a workplace, but if it is not properly managed, it can cause detrimental effects to the society, whether in productivity, financially or in health perspectives (Whitehead, 2001). Besides, workplace stress can influence economic growth. In Malaysia, a report compiled by the AIA Vitality in 2019 has shown that workplace stress has caused employers to lose an average of 73.3 days of work time per employee in a year due to absence and presenteeism, accounted for RM 1.46 million loss on productivity per year on average (AIA Vitality, 2019). Many workers are suffering from workplace stress, and teachers are no exception.

Teachers usually work with people with different personalities, positions, power and skills and they cannot escape the frequent interaction and co-operation (Hassard, J., Teoh, K. R., Visockaite, G., Dewe, P., \& Cox, T, 2018). Other than social interaction, the environment of a school is challenging as well, and the constant dealings with students can be mentally and physically demanding (Haggard, C., Slostad, F., \& Winterton, S., 2006). In Malaysia, the education system has been through numerous changes over a short time, and these changes have affected the teachers. Teachers are constantly playing catch up to these changes, sometimes before they get used to the previous changes made by the Ministry of Education (Abdul Muin, 2005). Based on the current situation, it is imperative for Malaysia, particularly the Ministry of Education, the Ministry of Health and the school administration to understand the issue of stress among teachers.

This study was conducted based on the notion that teachers in Malaysia are dealing with a high level of stress, and there are still grey areas that have not been revealed regarding this phenomenon. This study postulates that to aid teachers in understanding the dynamic of workplace stress and stress management, a new index that explains stress among teachers is needed. Furthermore, this study was driven to respond to the aspiration to make Malaysia a prosperous nation that can compete on a global scale. This aspiration means that the role of teachers in the education sector cannot be overlooked. As the goal of Education in Malaysia is to lead Malaysians to have a balanced life, teachers are the agents for advocating this aspiration. Therefore, it is imperative that teachers are balanced and stress-free, to help the nation and school and ultimately Malaysia to become a developed nation in the world.

One of the models developed under the field of stress is called the transactional model of stress, that focuses on stressor, coping mechanism and effect of stress. Therefore, by founding this study on the transactional model of stress, the main objective of the study is to review i) past studies regarding stressors in Malaysia, ii) past studies regarding coping mechanism among teachers in Malaysia and iii) past studies regarding the effects of stress among teachers in. This study employed the systematic literature approach to present an overview of stress among teachers in Malaysia and used the finding of the systematic review as the basis of the need of developing a stress index for teachers in Malaysia to help Malaysians to understand this issue further.

## 2. Literature Review

### 2.1 Transactional Perspectives of Stress

(Lazarus, 1966) believed that stress is produced from threat and the appraisal of threat. Threats must be first appraised by an individual as a stressor, and once the stressor is established, the body reacts by producing the coping mechanism to deal with the threat. Transactional perspective refuses to see that human will react to stress in the same way, as proposed by the internal and the external views. Instead, this perspective believes that human will respond to stress as an active and sentient agent, capable of making judgement and reacting to his or her surroundings. Stress is a dynamic cognitive stage and an attempt to restore the body to the balanced or homeostasis state (Dewe, P.J., Cox.T., \& Ferguson, E., 1993)

The process of stress based on interaction approach is best seen from the model introduced by (Sutherland, 1990), seen in Fig. 1. below. In this model, stress is the outcome of two interacting systems (environment and individual), and stress is produced when an individual can no longer withstand the magnitude of the stress stimuli. As a response to stress, a person will start to deploy coping mechanism, defined as ways used to mediate the reaction and response to stress. When coping mechanism fails, that is when the symptoms of stress will start to occur, seen in psychological, physiological and biological effects, whether in long-term or short-term effects.


Figure 1. Transactional Model of Stress (Sutherland, 1990)

## 3. Methodology

### 3.1 Systematic Review

A systematic review was carried out to identify past studies of teachers stress and teachers stress management in Malaysia that was published between January 2000 to June 2020. This systematic review was conducted using three electronic databases, including Google Scholar, PubMed and Scholar.

### 3.2 Eligibility Criteria

The eligibility of the articles must meet the following criteria: [1] studies carried out in Malaysia; [2] articles with available full text; [3] articles written in Malay or English language; [4] the subjects of the study are teachers in the Primary and Secondary schools and; [5] articles with the keywords detailed in Table 1.

Table 1. Eligibility Criteria

| Subject | Teacher / primary schools / secondary schools/ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Stressors | Stressors / causes stress / stress variables |
| OR |  |
| Coping mechanism | Coping mechanism/ stress management |
| OR |  |
| Effects of stress | Effect of stress |
| AND |  |
|  | Malaysia |

### 3.3 Selection of Literature (Screening and Eligibility)

Following a comprehensive literature search of three electronic databases, the relevant literature identified using the keywords in Table 1 were recorded. Studies which were conducted outside Malaysia, without available full texts, not written in English or Malay and irrelevant studies were excluded from this review using the filtering tool of the database. From the remaining records, other additional articles were also sourced from the reference lists of each study, based on the title, abstract, keywords, and statement in eligibility criteria. Studies with irrelevant information, duplicated publications, and review articles were removed. The flowchart of the review process is shown in Figure 2.


Figure 2. Flowchart of the review process

### 3.4 Quality Assessment of the Literature

A quality assessment was carried out by the researchers on the selected articles using the modified assessment tools as described by Tai et al. (2019). The quality assessment tool consisted of seven items (quality markers), as shown in Table 2 below. The assessment was adapted from (Tai, K. L., Ng, Y. G., \& Lim, P. Y., 2015).
Table 2. Quality markers

| Item | Quality markers | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Sample definition | The target definition was defined clearly |
| 2 | Recruitment | Complete, random or consecutive recruitment (sampling) |
| 3 | Representative sample | The targeted sample is representative, or the report presents evidence that the <br> results can be generalised to the educators |
| 4 | Response rate | The response rate was equal or greater than 70\% |
| 5 | Scale | The scale used is a validated measure of illness and stress (validated instrument) |
| 6 | Sample size | The sample size is adequate with a minimum sample size of 300 |
| 7 | Confidence intervals (CI) or <br> sample error (SE) | The confidence intervals (CI) and sample error (SE) are reported |

## 4. Results

### 4.1 Summary of the Reviews

In the 29 articles reviewed, most studies used secondary school teachers as their population. Some study studied specific population among teachers, including 2 studies involving music teachers, and one study involving Private school teachers, Tamil schoolteachers, Science teachers, PT3 teachers and Special Education teachers. Table 3.0 presents a summary of the review of all 29 papers.

Table 3. Summary of the papers

| Factors | Author \& Year | Study population \& sample size | Methodology instrument | Variables | Findings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stressor | (Abu, B. \& Aziz, M., 2010) | Secondary school teachers (95) | Self-written instrument (survey) | School administration <br> Job demand <br> Students' behaviour | School administration has low influence on stress <br> Students' behaviour has moderate influence on stress <br> Job demand has high influence on stress |
|  | (Ambotang, A.S., \& Bayong, N., 2018) | Primary school teachers (207) | Self-administered instrument (survey) | Responsibilities <br> Work demand <br> Work commitment | Responsibilities highly influenced stress (mean score: 3.31), <br> Work demand highly influenced stress (mean score: 3.70) <br> Work commitment highly influenced stress (mean score: 3.58) |
|  | (Ambotang, A.S, Pilus, N., Andin, C., 2014) | Secondary school teachers (282) | Self-administered instrument (survey) | Students' behaviour <br> Job demand | No correlation between stress and gender, age, education level, experience <br> Moderate correlation between students' behaviour and teachers stress ( $\mathrm{r}=0.531$ ) <br> High correlation between job demands and teachers stress (r=0.702) |
|  | (Bakar, M.K.B.A., \& | School teachers | Multidimensional | School | High correlation was found |


|  | Alias, B.S., 2020) | (132) | leadership: (adapted <br> from <br> Leadership <br> Oriental instrument) <br> Job Satisfaction (adapted from Job Satisfaction ERG Alderfer) | administration | between leadership approach and teachers' satisfaction. <br> Good leadership would reduce teachers stress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Hadi, A.A, Naing, N.N., Daud, A., Nordin, R. \& Sulong, M.R, 2009) | Secondary school teachers (580) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Job Satisfaction: } \\ & \text { JSQ (Kerasek) } \end{aligned}$ <br> Stress: DASS-21 | Age <br> Job demand | Prevalence of stress: <br> Normal: 66\%, <br> Mild (17.4\%) <br> Moderate (8.1\%) <br> Severe (5.1\%) <br> Extremely severe (3.4\%) <br> Younger teachers are more prone to stress <br> Significant relationship between job demand and stress ( p -value: 0.027, at $\mathrm{r}^{2}: 0.03$ ) |
|  | (Halim, L. Samsudin, M.A., Maerah, T.S.M., \& Osman, K., 2006) | Secondary school science teachers (58) | Occupational stress inventory <br> (Okebukola, 1998) <br> Semi-structured interview | Curriculum <br> Facilities <br> Students’ <br> characteristic <br> School administration <br> Professional Growth and self-satisfaction | Highest perceived stressor for curriculum (difficult topics) <br> Highest perceived stressor for facilities (lack of materials in lab) <br> Highest perceived stressor in students' characteristic (students' carelessness in lab) <br> Highest perceived stressor in school administration: Principal reluctance in disciplining students <br> Highest perceived stressor in professional growth and self-satisfaction: delay in promotion <br> Interview findings: overload science syllabus, lack of support for coping strategies |
|  | (Hassan, N., Yaakob, S.A, Halif, M.M., Aziz, R.A., Majid A.A., \& Sumardi, N.A., 2019) | School teachers (173) | Survey: <br> /Technostress <br> Creators scale <br> (Tarafdar et.al, <br> 2007) | Techno-overload Techno-invasion Techno-complexity Techno-insecurity <br> Techno-uncertainty | Significant stressors: <br> Techno-uncertainty $\quad(B=\quad .356$, $\mathrm{p}<.05)$ <br> Techno-insecurity ( $\mathrm{B}=.199, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ) |
|  | (Jamaludin, J. \& Ghazali, G.M. (2012)., 2012) | Music teachers (8) | Qualitative (open-ended response) |  | Teachers were found to be generally satisfied with their job <br> 7 respondents cited administrative job as a stressor <br> 3 respondents cited students' discipline as a stressor |
|  | (Jan, C.C., Jamaludin, J., 2010) | Music teachers (326) | Music Teacher <br> Stress Inventory <br> -MTSI)  |  | Highest stressor: TC (overall mean: 2.79, std: . 87 <br> Lowest stressor: SyC (overall |




|  |  |  |  | Self-controlling <br> Social support <br> Accepting responsibilities <br> Escape-Avoidance <br> Planful Problem <br> Solving <br> Positive Reappraisal | self-controlling (13\%) <br> Highest coping mechanisms used by urban teachers: accepting responsibilities (average: 20\%), planful problem solving (16\%), positive reappraisal " (15\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Mohd. Razali \& Abang Mat Ali, 2000) | Secondary school teachers (209) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Survey/ Cockburn } \\ & \text { (1996) } \end{aligned}$ | Coping mechanism abilities <br> Coping mechanism awareness <br> Coping mechanism knowledge | Stress prevalence: moderate <br> Top-rated coping mechanism ensuring content delivery in classroom (73.8\%), learning to forgive oneself and learning from mistake ( $64.4 \%$ ), talking to other teachers (54.6\%) <br> Teachers awareness of coping strategy is high |
| Effect | (Hadi, A.A, Naing, N.N., Daud, A., Nordin, R. \& Sulong, M.R, 2009) | Secondary school teachers (580) | JobSatisfaction: <br> (Job Content <br> Questionnaire $\quad-$ <br> JSQ from Kerasek <br> (1997) <br> Depression: <br> DASS-21 | Depression | Prevalence of depression: $49.1 \%$ Overall level of depression: mild (21\%) <br> Job-related factors is not highly correlated with depression prevalence (psychological job demand p-value: 0.015) <br> Depression is highly correlated with working hours (p-value: 0.932) |
|  | (Masilamani, R. Darus, A. Ting A.S., Ali, R., Mahmud, A.B., \& David, K., 2012) | Secondary school teacher ( $\mathrm{n}=471$ ) | Job Content Questionnaire (Kerasek, | Salivary biomarkers | Prevalence of stress among teachers $=20.2 \%$ <br> Higher prevalence of stress among Malay, teaching experience of 5-10 years and no supervisor support <br> Lower salivary IgA levels were found among highly stressed teacher (lower $\lg \mathrm{A}$ is associated with lower immune efficiency) |
|  | (Musa, N.A., Moy, F.M., \& Wong, L.P, 2018) | Secondary school teacher (n=1871) | Sleep Quality <br> Pittsburgh  <br> Sleep Quality <br> Index (M-PSQI)  <br>   <br> Stress: DASS-21  | Sleep quality | Teachers stress are found to be associated with poor sleep quality (OR 1.04; 95\% CI 1.02-10.5\%) <br> One-third of participants reported mild sleep disturbance and mild day dysfunction due to sleep disturbance |
|  | (Othman, Z. \& Sivasubramaniam, V., 2019) | Secondary school teachers ( $\mathrm{n}=356$ ) | DASS 21 | Depression <br> Anxiety | Prevalence of stress = 32.3\% <br> Prevalence of Depression $=43.0 \%$ <br> Prevalence of Anxiety $=68.0 \%$ <br> Stress, depression and anxiety were found more common among female, low education status, and have 1-3 children |
|  | (Salahudin, S.N., | Secondary school | Self-administered | Job turnover | Stress was found to be more |
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|  | Abdullah M.M., Hitam, S., \& Idrus, D., 2007)Salahuddin et.al | teachers ( $\mathrm{n}=150$ ) | questionnaire |  | significant in female teachers than male teachers <br> Older teachers were found to demonstrate stress than younger teachers <br> There is a positive and significant correlation between occupational stress and job turnover (r-value $=0.607, \mathrm{p}$-value $=0.0005$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Yaacob, M,. \& Choi, S.L., 2015) | Teachers ( $\mathrm{n}=386$ ) | Occupational <br> Roles <br> Questionnaires <br> (ORQ) from Wu et.al (2010) <br> Occupational Stress Indicator (OSI) from Chang and Lu | Job satisfaction | Teachers who experienced higher workplace stress will experience lower job satisfaction |
|  | (Zamri, E.N., Moy, F.M., \& Hoe, V.C., 2017) | Secondary school teachers $(\mathrm{n}=1482)$ | Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire (MSP) for Musculoskeletal DASS 21 for stress | Low back pain (LBP) <br> Neck/ shoulder pain (NSP) | Prevalence in self-reported LBP in 12 months $48.0 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: 45.2, 50.9) <br> Prevalence in self-reported NSP in 12 months $60.1 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: 57.4 , 62.9) <br> Pain is more prevalent among teachers with > 15 years' experience, teaching > 4 hours/ day and spent <5 hours/day in administrative work |
|  | (Zarisfizadeh, 2012) | English language teachers ( $\mathrm{n}=35$ ) |   <br> TEJOSAMOQ  <br> (Teachers' Job <br> Satisfaction and <br> Motivation  <br> Questionnaire by <br> Ololub 2016)  $\mathbf{l}$  | Job satisfaction | Stress due to high workload leads to low job satisfaction |

The quality assessment scores of the 29 articles ranged from 2 to 7 , with a mean of $4.50(\mathrm{SD}=1.22)$. The overall sample size in this review was 8967 respondents, with a minimum sample of 2 and a maximum of 1871 . Not all papers disclosed the sex of the respondents, but most respondents were made up of about $65 \%$ of female teachers and male teachers. The papers included teachers from vast experience from 5 years' experience to 15 years' experience. Most papers have a high response rate and used many variables in terms of stressor, coping mechanism and effects of stress.

### 4.2 Prevalence of Stress among Teachers

Before the review of the factors of stress, it is important that to establish that past studies have found evidence of the prevalence of stress among teachers. The majority of the study have concluded that teachers were suffering from stress, whether low, moderate or high. Even though not all study presented an overall level of stress, in summary, two studies suggested that teachers were suffering from the low level of stress, while other studies suggested a moderate and high level of stress.
Another interesting finding found in the review is numerous study attempted to established biographical variables to stress, and the results were mixed. Some studies found no correlation between gender and stress, but two studies suggested that stress was more likely to occur among female teachers. Some studies suggested that age does not influence stress, except for two studies that found that stress was more likely to occur among middle-aged teachers with 5-10 years' experience. In terms of experience, qualification and marital status, the studies found no correlation between these variables and stress.

### 4.3 Stressors

In terms of stressors, the most mentioned stressor is job demand as it was found in seven studies, with five studies found high influence between job demand and stress and two studies found a moderate influence of job demand with stress. The second most mentioned stressor was students' behaviour, and all studies mentioned moderate influence of students' behaviour on stress. Another common stressor was school administration, with mixed result. Some study found a low influence of school administration with stress, and others found a moderate and high influence of school administration with stress. One interesting finding was found in a qualitative study was the principle's refusal to interfere with was found to be one of the stressors among teachers. In terms of specific finding, one study focused on technostress and found that teachers who were uncertain and insecure about tech were stressful. In summary, although it was not entirely established, teachers' main stressors were seen mostly in their job responsibilities, suggesting that teachers nowadays that overworked, or was not taught effective work management strategies.

### 4.4 Coping Mechanism

In terms of coping mechanism, it was found that studies that focus on coping mechanism were scarce. Only three studies put coping mechanism as their main focus of the study, while the rest of the findings on coping mechanism were found from other studies that mentioned coping mechanism as the additional findings. The studies of the coping mechanism showed that teachers employed positive coping mechanism strategies, except one study that showed a negative coping mechanism strategy employed by teachers, smoking habit. Some of the positive coping mechanisms mentioned are evaluating problems and their stressors, and communicating with others, whether their spouse or colleague. For the smoking habit, the prevalence of teachers to start smoking was found to be 7.8 per cent, and it was found to be more influential among married or divorced male teachers. As there was a significantly low study on coping mechanism, it was difficult to assert which coping mechanism was found to be effective to manage teachers stress.

### 4.5 Effects of Stress

In terms of coping mechanism, three types of stress effects were found, physical effect, mental effect and professional effect. In terms of physical effect, stressful teachers were found to suffer from musculoskeletal pains and sleeping disorder. One study suggested that stress would lower immune efficiency, seen in lower lgA salivary level. For mental effect, teachers who suffered from stress were prone to have depression and anxiety and professional effect, stress was found to affect their job satisfaction and can influence job turnover among teachers. From this study, it can be concluded that quick action must be taken to ensure that teachers stress would not cause more devastating and long-lasting effects on teachers.

## 5. Discussion and Conclusion

The review process found several gaps in past studies on teachers stress. The first gap found was the use of instruments in the study of teachers stress. The review found several noted instruments such as DASS 21, TSI and Karasek Job Satisfaction, but other studies employed self-administered instruments were not validated for reliability and validity. Therefore, the results of the findings can be questioned. Secondly, these studies have established job demands, students' behaviour and administration as the main sources of stress, but the lack of studies means that there is a possibility of undiscovered stressors among teachers. The third gap found in the review is as mentioned previously, studies about coping mechanism were extremely low, and during the identification process, the search result found more studies of coping mechanism among students and higher education academicians. Another gap found in the study of coping mechanism is while the studies described coping mechanism practised by teachers, none of the studies has extended the study to see the level of success of practising the suggested coping mechanism. Therefore, the effectiveness of these coping mechanisms was not established. The lack of study also showed the possibilities of undiscovered coping mechanism among teachers. In terms of the effect of stress, it was found that the studies found clear evidence of the physical effect of stress, as these studies have established their validity of the result. However, the same cannot be concluded for the most mental effect and professional effect of stress. Another gap was that there were no studies that looked into the effect of stress on teachers' efficiency in delivering their responsibilities. A study that looks into how stress affects job performance of teachers needs to be conducted because the effect of stress needs to be seen not only from the teachers' perspective but also from the students' perspective because there is no escaping students influence in a teacher's job.
The review has provided a strong basis for the development of a new stress index for teachers in Malaysia. The disparity of studies of stress among teachers in terms of stressor, coping mechanism, and effect suggested that there is an absence of instrument that is capable of measuring all three factors at once. Therefore, a new index that
measures teachers stress using the three factors is crucial. Also, in the process of developing the instrument, a study that determines the variables in the instrument will be conducted in an in-depth perspective, gathered from teachers, administrators, healthcare professionals and other relevant parties. That type of study will open a huge door of discovery and can clear any misunderstanding or misconception of stress among teachers.

The suggestion for the development of a new stress index is as below:

1. The stress index is divided into three variables: identifying the stressor, identifying coping mechanism, and identifying the effect of stress. The process of determining the variables could be done by employing studies that aim to find the most influential variables in terms of stressors, coping mechanisms and effects of stress. Input can be gathered from teachers, school administrators, healthcare professionals, and other related parties.
2. The presentation of the result will be seen from triumvirate perspectives, the stressors, the coping mechanism and the effect. By presenting the result from this perspective, one can see the state of stress in teachers in the whole view. Furthermore, supporting measures can be designed based on the result of the index, rather than deploying a one size fits all method in helping teachers to manage their stress.
In conclusion, it was found that the studies of stress among teachers need to be expanded, and there are still more stressors, coping mechanisms and effects of stress that need to be uncovered in future studies. These can be achieved in the course of developing a new stress index, as the development of a new stress index will require a series of studies that will look at stress in a deeper context and open the opportunity for more knowledge and discovery about the issue of stress among teachers.
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