# Cognitive Dissonance and Buyers' Coping Mechanisms: A Comparative Study of US and Indian Consumers

Qazi Asif Zameer<sup>1</sup> & Raj Devasagayam<sup>2</sup>

Correspondence: Raj Devasagayam, Faculty in Marketing, School of Business, Siena College, 515 Loudon Road, Loudonville, New York - 12211, USA. Tel: 518-782-6863. E-mail: rdevasagayam@siena.edu

Received: February 16, 2015 Accepted: April 9, 2015 Online Published: April 20, 2015

doi:10.5430/ijba.v6n3p1 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.5430/ijba.v6n3p1

#### Abstract

This study empirically examined difference in the cognitive dissonance resolution behavior of US and Indian consumers. The data was collected through an online survey through the use of convenience and snowball sampling techniques. The use of ANOVA provided the results that indicate that Indian consumers are more cautious and risk-averse in their approach to purchases than US consumers. US consumers demonstrate a higher level of individualistic thinking and are more confident of their choices while Indian consumers have more collectivistic thinking and want peer approval of their choices. US consumers came out to be more aggressive in their post purchase dissonance reducing behavior while Indian consumers appeared to be more willing to compromise with a bad purchase.

**Keywords:** cognitive dissonance, post purchase dissonance, dissonance reducing behavior, coping mechanism, USA and Indian consumers

#### 1. Introduction

USA and India are two of the largest consumer markets in the world. All the major companies of the world have had their presence in the US for a long time while India has been a relatively new market for most of them. India embarked on the path of liberalization and globalization only in 1991 and still is considered as an emerging market. Most of the contemporary practices and thoughts have originated from the US based on the research and understanding of US consumers. Many Multinational Corporations tried to replicate those practices in India and found, to their dismay, that the consumer behavior of Indians was markedly different from consumers in USA. The purpose of this study was to come to a better understanding of why consumers feel remorse or regret after making a purchase (cognitive dissonance) and to find if there is any difference in the cognitive dissonance behavior of US and Indian consumers. We wanted to understand the levels of remorse consumers feel after making different purchases because a better understanding of the reasoning behind returning a product will ultimately assist companies with how they market their product and facilitate with pricing plans in both of these markets. We studied many variables in hopes of better understanding when and why consumers feel a sense of regret. The questions on our survey were designed to gain insight as to what the "average" customer feels after making a purchase decision and how they cope with making bad purchases. Our sample included respondents of different demographics in both countries so we could obtain unbiased results from many different respondents; due to the large respondent pool (145 in USA and 114 in India) and a good representation from different demographics; we feel that our results are an adequate representation of the population of both the countries.

The questions that were searched related to consumers and allowed us to measure their level of regret associated with different purchases. Through our research we were able to understand if remorse after buying a big ticket item (high involvement purchase) was larger than the regret associated with purchasing a smaller ticket item (low involvement purchase). By analyzing the data we came to a better understanding of buyer's remorse and the way consumers feel after their decision to make a purchase. The study helped us to understand in which variables there were significant differences between the US and Indian consumers and in which variables their perceptions / actions were similar.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Faculty in Marketing, FORE School of Management, New Delhi, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Faculty in Marketing, School of Business, Siena College, Loudonville, USA

#### 2. Literature Review

We conducted a literature review of the secondary information we thought would be most beneficial and would give us a better insight into our research problem which was to come to a better understanding of why consumers feel remorse or regret after making a purchase (cognitive dissonance) and to find if there is any difference in the cognitive dissonance behavior of US and Indian consumers. One direction of the literature review was taken up in the area of post purchase satisfaction and dissatisfaction while another direction of the literature review was to see the impact of culture on consumers' post purchase response, particularly in the case of US and Indian consumers.

The pioneering work in the field of post purchase dissatisfaction is the cognitive dissonance theory propounded by Festinger (1957), which maintains that individuals enhance their opinions of decisions after they have made them. Earlier most of the researchers opined that cognitive dissonance is seen only when the consumer in more involved with the purchase: either the price of the product is high as per his/her perspective, or the decision can have long term impact on his life / health / family etc. Oliver (2006) says that satisfaction depends on the closeness between expectations and the product perceived performance. This raises a question that do the consumers have no expectations in the case of low involvement purchases. Later on, researchers started looking at cognitive dissonance through the prism of the involvement of the consumer in the purchase: high or low, Gbadamosi (2009) suggests that three main conditions exist for arousal of dissonance in purchases: the decision involved in the purchase must be important, such as, involvement of a lot of money or psychological cost and be personally relevant to the consumer; the consumer has a freedom in selecting among the alternatives, finally; the decision involvement must be irreversible. For a typical purchase, the degree of cognitive dissonance felt by more involved purchasers is less than that felt by less involved purchasers. More planned and less spontaneous buying behavior is associated with a higher degree of cognitive dissonance (George and Edwards 2009). All of these studies provided us the understanding to capture the variables influencing cognitive dissonance in both the high involvement and low involvement purchase decisions.

The next direction for our research work was to study the literature where comparative analysis of Indian and US consumers were done. There are very few such studies though there are many papers which have done such study in the context of US and Asian customers. Two studies (Nisbett, Peng, and Choi 2001) and (Miyamoto, Nisbett, and Masuda 2006) concluded that cross-cultural psychology describes cultural differences in style of thinking, with Asian societies characterized by holistic thinking and Western societies characterized by analytic thinking. Holistic thinking involves an orientation to the context or filed as a whole, whereas analytic thinking involves a detachment of the object from its context and a focus on attributes of the subject (Monga and John 2007). This gap in literature about comparative analysis of US and Indian consumers gave us the added motivation for this study.

One of the main pieces of our research, we used to develop our scales, was from previous work by Duhachek (2005). In his report he outlined findings relating to how people cope with stress and negative emotions. He found that people cope with these emotions in different ways whether it is through the consolation or reassurance of others or the desire and need to fix the problem and look for solutions. The scale he used to collect this data was very useful to us in that we could tweak the variables to better suit our own research question regarding buyer's remorse. For example, in his scale one of his variables addressed if the respondent "tries to make a plan of action" when confronted with a negative situation. We found this variable to be suiting to our research topic but in order to make it more relevant to buyer's remorse we changed it to "try to make a plan of action to return the product". In another study, Cummings and Venkatesan (1975) concluded that pre-decisional determinants and post-decisional determinants support the dissonance-based predictions while information seeking behavior does not. Powers and Jack (2013) examined the influence of two forms of cognitive dissonance (emotional dissonance and product dissonance) on the frequency of product returns. The third part of our research, to assess dissonance reducing behavior, emerged from such literature.

The literature review was very helpful in giving us background research, clarifying what exactly we should be focusing on in our study. After doing it, not only did we get the inputs for our variables and scales, we also arrived at the following research questions:

- 1: Are there significant difference between the factors leading to cognitive dissonance between US and Indian consumers?
- 2: Are the US and Indian consumers significantly different in their risk assessment and risk attitudes during their purchase decisions? Does the level of involvement in purchase decision significantly alter the risk attitudes?
- 3: Do US and Indian consumers significantly differ in post purchase dissonance reducing behavior?

# 3. Methodology

The research design we used consisted of mainly descriptive and some exploratory data. The exploratory research gave us qualitative data which allowed us to further analyze the research topic and come to a better understanding of how our respondents are thinking. The exploratory research helped us to fine tune the quantitative questions for the descriptive research. The descriptive research data allowed us to come to conclusions from our findings. To gain this type of data we used a seven point scale where people could relate themselves to specific variables with 1 being never and 7 being always. Our survey was sent out to approximately 300 respondents, 259 completed responses were used for the empirical analyses (USA: 145 and India: 114) yielding an 86.33 incidence rate.

# 3.1 Scales and Validation

Our scale, which tested the amount of overall remorse, was taken from a previous research study by Duhachek (2005). These scales measured how consumers carry on and cope with their negative and stressful emotions. We modified Duhachek's scale to better suit our own research study by making the variables relate to how consumers deal with making a purchase they regret. Other scales that were used in our research survey had variables that we created ourselves to measure the amount of buyer's remorse present in big ticket items compared to smaller purchases. We did this by creating one set of questions for both types of purchases. We believe this is a valid approach because this allowed us to compare results and measure the remorse level for each type of purchase. We also further questioned the level of remorse in relation to these purchases by finding out from the respondent how often they purchase and return products of each type.

Scale reliability was checked and results indicated that the scale for variables influencing cognitive dissonance in high involvement purchases is a thirteen-item scale with good psychometric properties (Cronbach's Alpha 0.705). The analogous 13-item scale used for low involvement purchase had a Cronbach's Alpha of 0.775. The scale of variables measuring buyer's remorse had a Cronbach's Alpha value of 0.791 (10-item scale). In all the three scales, the Cronbach's Alpha was much higher than 0.60 which is the generally accepted level for reliability.

# 3.2 Survey Design

An on-line data collection program was used to collect data from both USA and India samples. A convenience based random sample was generated using snowballing technique to garner larger number of responses. Several rounds of pretesting helped us fine-tune the survey for content and flow. Resultant survey was seen as being easy to navigate and complete between 5 and 7 minutes. The format of the survey consisted of a set of questions on a scale regarding "big ticket items", then another page of questions involving "smaller purchases", leading to a third scale which measured the amount of general and overall buyer's remorse and coping mechanisms, and finally a section obtained demographics of the respondent.

# 3.3 Sample Profile

We received 145 completed and useable responses from USA and 114 completed and usable responses from India. This is just about enough critical mass to enable the analysis and generalization of the results. A summary of the sample profile is given below (Table 1).

Table 1. Comparison between the sample profile of US and Indian respondents

	USA		INDIA	
Gender				
Males	57	39.3%	82	71.9%
Females	88	60.7%	32	28.1%
Total	145	100%	114	100%
Age				
18-29 years old	90	62.1%	87	76.3%
30-49 years old	33	22.8%	18	15.8%
50-64 years old	18	12.4%	9	7.9%
65 years and older	4	2.8%	0	0
Total	145	100%	114	100%

Highest level of educati	ion			
Some high school	1	0.7%	0	0%
High school graduate	9	6.2%	0	0%
Some college	15	10.3%	0	0%
Currently in college	69	47.6%	4	3.5%
College graduate	35	24.1%	59	51.8%
Post graduate degree	16	11%	51	44.7%
Total	145	100%	114	100%
Race				
Caucasian	138	95%	-	-
Asian	-	-	111	97.4%
Annual household inco	me			
Less than \$10,000	16	11.0%		
\$10,001 - \$25,000	8	5.5%		
\$25,001 - \$50,000	15	10.3%		
\$50,001 - \$75,000	27	18.6%		
\$70,001 - \$100,000	26	17.9%		
\$101,000 +	53	36.6%		
Total	145	100.0%		

Summary of findings from the sample profiles:

- 1. The sample was skewed towards females in USA and towards males in India.
- 2. The sample includes more respondents in the age group of 18-29 years. This skew is more in case of Indian sample. This is an outcome of online data collection method where most of the respondents were students or young professionals.
- 3. The sample includes largely current college students in USA and graduates / post graduates in India. This is also an outcome of the main source of respondents in both these countries.
- 4. Almost 100% of the respondents belong to Caucasian race in USA and to Asian race in India. This is along expected lines.
- 5. Annual Household income (HHI) There is no response from Indian respondents for this question. It can be attributed to their reluctance in sharing this data. However considering that the data has been collected online, all of the Indian respondents have access to computers / internet and they can safely be assumed to be part of Indian middle / upper class. The respondents from USA mostly belong to upper and upper middle class.

Thus the overall respondents in both the countries are relatively younger in age, well educated and from the consuming class. According to us, the sample adequately represents the customers who engage in big ticket purchases.

## 4. Data Analysis and Findings

First part of the survey was related to understanding cognitive dissonance behavior in the case of high involvement purchases. The data was subjected to One-way ANOVA to compare mean perceptions between US and Indian consumers in respect of variables influencing cognitive dissonance in the case of high involvement purchase situations. The findings can be seen below (Tables 2 and 3).

Table 2. Comparative analysis of US and Indian consumers for high involvement purchases (Descriptives)

		N	Mean	Std.	Std.	95%	Confidence
				Dev.	Error	Interval t	for Mean
						Lower Bound	Upper Bound
When answering the following items please think of them in regards to "big ticket," or	India	114	5.65	1.317	.123	5.40	5.89
expensive purcI only make these purchases when I absolutely need to	United States of America	145	4.85	1.600	.133	4.59	5.11
	Total	259	5.20	1.532	.095	5.01	5.39
When answering the following items please		114	5.80	1.465	137	5.53	6.07
think of them in regards to big ticket, or expensive purcI consult with	United States		4.68	1.821	.151	4.38	4.97
family/friends before making the purchase	Total	259	5.17	1.761	.109	4.95	5.39
When answering the following items please		114	5.00	1.709	.160	4.68	5.32
think of them in regards to big ticket," or							
expensive purc -I consult with an	of America	145	4.83	1.845	.153	4.53	5.14
expert/perform research before making the purchase	Total	259	4.91	1.785	.111	4.69	5.13
When answering the following items please	India	114	5.56	1.557	.146	5.27	5.85
think of them in regards to big ticket, or expensive purcI make sure to keep the		145	5.19	1.868	.155	4.89	5.50
receipt in a safe place	Total	259	5.36	1.745	.108	5.14	5.57
When answering the following items please	India	114	4.45	1.745	.163	4.12	4.77
think of them in regards to big ticket, or expensive purcI wonder if I chose the		145	4.19	1.671	.139	3.91	4.46
right product after the purchase	Total	259	4.30	1.706	.106	4.09	4.51
When answering the following items please	India	114	5.30	1.389	.130	5.04	5.56
think of them in regards to big ticket, no expensive purcI think brand name		145	4.79	1.481	.123	4.55	5.04
purchases are the best choice	Total	259	5.02	1.460	.091	4.84	5.19
When answering the following items please	India	114	5.75	1.252	.117	5.52	5.99
think of them in regards to big ticket, or expensive purcI feel excited after making		145	5.51	1.302	.108	5.30	5.72
a purchase	Total	259	5.62	1.284	.080	5.46	5.77
When answering the following items please	India	114	4.82	1.700	.159	4.51	5.14
think of them in regards to big ticket, or expensive purcI feel excited when I plan		145	4.55	1.803	.150	4.26	4.85
shopping trips	Total	259	4.67	1.760	.109	4.46	4.89
When answering the following items please	India	114	5.98	1.283	.120	5.74	6.22
think of them in regards to big ticket, or expensive purcI figure out my finances		145	5.50	1.720	.143	5.21	5.78
before making a purchase	Total	259	5.71	1.559	.097	5.52	5.90
When answering the following items please		114	3.99	1.812	.170	3.66	4.33
think of them in regards to big ticket, or expensive purcI don't care how much I	of America	145	3.86	1.662	.138	3.58	4.13
spent as long as I will get good use out of the product	Total	259	3.92	1.728	.107	3.70	4.13
When answering the following items please	India	114	2.95	1.516	.142	2.67	3.23
think of them in regards to big ticket, or expensive purcI later wish I had the	United States	145	3.12	1.397	.116	2.89	3.35

money instead of the product I recently purchased	Total	259	3.04	1.450	.090	2.87	3.22
When answering the following items please		114	3.20	1.730	.162	2.88	3.52
think of them in regards to big ticket, or expensive purcI feel upset if people do		145	2.54	1.404	.117	2.31	2.77
not compliment me on my recent purchase		259	2.83	1.588	.099	2.64	3.02
When answering the following items please		114	2.04	1.346	.126	1.79	2.29
think of them in regards to big ticket, or expensive purcI make purchases when		145	2.43	1.610	.134	2.16	2.69
know I can't afford it	Total	259	2.26	1.509	.094	2.07	2.44

Table 3. Comparative analysis of US and Indian consumers for high involvement purchases (ANOVA)

	Sum Squares	of df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
When answering the following items Between Groups	40.933	1	40.933	18.631	.000 ***
please think of them in regards to big Within Groups	564.627	257	2.197		
ticket," or expensive purcI only make these purchases when I absolutely need to Total	605.560	258			
When answering the following items Between Groups	80.400	1	80.400	28.693	.000 ***
please think of them in regards to big Within Groups	720.125	257	2.802		
ticket," or expensive purcI consult					
with family/friends before making the Total	800.525	258			
purchase					
When answering the following items Between Groups	1.748	1	1.748	.548	.460
please think of them in regards to big Within Groups	820.028	257	3.191		
ticket," or expensive purcI consult	021 776	250			
with an expert/perform research before Total making the purchase	821.776	258			
When answering the following items Between Groups	8.657	1	8.657	2.865	.092 *
please think of them in regards to big Within Groups	776.663	257	3.022	2.003	.092
ticket," or expensive purcI make sure  Total			3.022		
to keep the receipt in a safe place  Total	785.320	258			
When answering the following items Between Groups	4.353	1	4.353	1.499	.222
please think of them in regards to big Within Groups	746.157	257	2.903		
ticket," or expensive purcI wonder if I Total	750 510				
chose the right product after the purchase	750.510	258			
When answering the following items Between Groups	16.285	1	16.285	7.843	.005 ***
please think of them in regards to big Within Groups	533.653	257	2.076		
ticket," or expensive purcI think brand Total	549.938	258			
name purchases are the best choice					
When answering the following items Between Groups	3.801	1	3.801	2.318	.129
please think of them in regards to big Within Groups	421.357	257	1.640		
ticket," or expensive purcI feel excited Total after making a purchase	425.158	258			
When answering the following items Between Groups	4.751	1	4.751	1.537	.216
please think of them in regards to big Within Groups	794.353	257	3.091		
ticket," or expensive purcI feel excited	799.104	258			
when I plan shopping trips					
When answering the following items Between Groups	15.069	1	15.069	6.326	.013 **
please think of them in regards to"big Within Groups	612.213	257	2.382		

ticket," or expensive purcI figure out my finances before making a purchase	627.282	258			
When answering the following items Between Groups	1.181	1	1.181	.395	.530
please think of them in regards to"big Within Groups	768.950	257	2.992		
ticket," or expensive purcI don't care					
how much I spent as long as I will get Total	770.131	258			
good use out of the product					
When answering the following items Between Groups	1.842	1	1.842	.875	.350
please think of them in regards to"big Within Groups	540.691	257	2.104		
ticket," or expensive purcI later wish I					
had the money instead of the product I Total	542.533	258			
recently purchased					
When answering the following items Between Groups	28.124	1	28.124	11.613	.001 ***
please think of them in regards to"big Within Groups	622.401	257	2.422		
ticket," or expensive purcI feel upset if					
people do not compliment me on my Total	650.525	258			
recent purchase					
When answering the following items Between Groups	9.398	1	9.398	4.177	.042 **
please think of them in regards to big Within Groups	578.270	257	2.250		
ticket," or expensive purcI make Total	587.668	258			
purchases when I know I can't afford it					

Note: \* denotes p: 0.10 or lesser, \*\* denotes p: 0.05 or lesser, \*\*\* denotes p: 0.01 or lesser

From Table 3, we see that out of 13 parameters, there are significant differences (at varying level of confidence) in mean perceptions of seven parameters between US and Indian consumers while involved in big ticket purchase. The parameters where there is very high level of significant difference (at 99% confidence level) are - making big ticket purchases only when they are absolutely needed, consulting family / friends before making expensive purchase, trusting the brand names, and feeling upset if people do not compliment on the purchase. We can see a significant difference (at 95% confidence level) in the response for figuring out the finances before making expensive purchase, and making purchases even when the customer could not afford them. Further, at 90% confidence level, there is a significant difference in making sure that receipt is kept in a safe place. The higher mean response from Indian customers in the case of the all of these parameters (as seen from Table 2) can be attributed to more risk-averse nature of Indian customers with regards to big ticket purchase. The Indian customers have less disposable incomes and big ticket purchases are more risky for them. Such purchases are more infrequent in India and people mitigate the risk by consulting family / friends or going for established brand names. The parameter of other's appreciation towards the expensive purchase has come out as less important in both the countries; still the Indian response is significantly higher conveying a higher need for social approval in India and a stronger individualistic behavior in USA. Regarding the parameter of buying big ticket items even when it is not affordable, though the responses in both the countries have tilted towards lower frequency; the Indian response is significantly closer to 'never'; again reinforcing the first parameter that Indian customers are more conservative and more need driven than their US counterparts.

The parameters where there is no statistical difference in responses from the two countries are – consulting expert / performing market research before a big ticket purchase, having negative thoughts after the purchase, feeling excited after the purchase, feeling excited while planning the shopping trip, not caring how much was spent as long as the customer got good value, and regretting having spent money on that purchase. Interestingly, the responses tended toward higher frequency on negative thoughts, as well as sense of excitement in post purchase behavior.

The second part of the survey was related to understand cognitive dissonance behavior in the case of low involvement purchases. The data was subjected to One-way ANOVA to compare mean perceptions between US and Indian consumers in respect of variables influencing cognitive dissonance in the case of low involvement purchase situations. The findings can be seen below. (Tables 4 and 5)

Table 4. Comparative analysis of US and Indian consumers for low involvement purchases (Descriptives)

		N	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error	95% Interval f	Confidence For Mean
						Lower Bound	Upper Bound
When answering the following		114	4.02	1.800	.169	3.68	4.35
items please think of them in regards to small purchases (DVDs, personaI only make these	United States of America	145	3.48	1.716	.143	3.20	3.76
purchases when I absolutely need to	Total	259	3.72	1.770	.110	3.50	3.93
When answering the following		114	3.02	1.735	.162	2.70	3.34
items please think of them in regards to small purchases (DVDs, personaI consult with		145	2.17	1.356	.113	1.95	2.39
family/friends before making the purchase	Total	259	2.54	1.588	.099	2.35	2.74
When answering the following	India	114	2.77	1.662	.156	2.46	3.08
items please think of them in regards to small purchases (DVDs, personaI consult with an		145	2.30	1.524	.127	2.05	2.55
expert/perform research before making the purchase	Total	259	2.51	1.600	.099	2.31	2.70
When answering the following	India	114	2.89	1.894	.177	2.53	3.24
items please think of them in regards to small purchases (DVDs,	America	145	3.01	2.022	.168	2.67	3.34
personaI make sure to keep the	Total	259	2.95	1.964	.122	2.71	3.19
receipt in a safe place When answering the following		114	3.17	1.794	.168	2.83	3.50
items please think of them in regards to small purchases (DVDs,	United States of	145	2.94	1.567	.130	2.69	3.20
personaI wonder if I chose the right product after the purchase	Total	259	3.04	1.671	.104	2.84	3.25
When answering the following	India	114	4.96	1.574	.147	4.67	5.26
items please think of them in regards to small purchases (DVDs	United States of America	145	3.99	1.704	.141	3.71	4.27
personaI think brand name purchases are the best choice	Total	259	4.42	1.715	.107	4.21	4.63
When answering the following	India	114	4.26	1.699	.159	3.95	4.58
items please think of them in regards to small purchases (DVDs,	America	145	3.84	1.610	.134	3.58	4.11
personaI feel excited after making a purchase	Total	259	4.03	1.660	.103	3.82	4.23
When answering the following	India	114	3.79	1.807	.169	3.45	4.12
items please think of them in regards to small purchases (DVDs,	America	145	3.63	1.841	.153	3.33	3.93
personaI feel excited when I plan shopping trips	Total	259	3.70	1.824	.113	3.48	3.92
When answering the following	India	114	3.87	1.889	.177	3.52	4.22
items please think of them in regards to small purchases (DVDs,	America	145	3.94	1.946	.162	3.63	4.26
personaI figure out my finances before making a purchase	Total	259	3.91	1.918	.119	3.68	4.15
When answering the following	India	114	4.01	1.888	.177	3.66	4.36

items please think of them in regards to small purchases (DVDs,		145	3.65	1.610	.134	3.38	3.91
personaI don't care how much I							
spent as long as I will get good use	Total	259	3.81	1.744	.108	3.59	4.02
out of the product							
When answering the following	India	114	2.66	1.522	.143	2.38	2.94
items please think of them in regards to small purchases (DVDs,		145	2.97	1.543	.128	2.71	3.22
personaI later wish I had the							
money instead of the product I	Total	259	2.83	1.538	.096	2.64	3.02
recently purchased							
When answering the following		114	2.45	1.558	.146	2.16	2.74
items please think of them in		145	1.88	1.130	.094	1.69	2.06
regards to small purchases (DVDs,	America	1 13	1.00	1.130	.071	1.07	2.00
personaI feel upset if people do							
not compliment me on my recent	Total	259	2.13	1.362	.085	1.96	2.29
purchase							
When answering the following	India	114	2.35	1.591	.149	2.06	2.65
items please think of them in	United States of	145	2.32	1.563	.130	2.07	2.58
regards to small purchases (DVDs,		173	2.32	1.303	.130	2.07	2.36
personaI make purchases when I	Total	259	2.34	1.572	.098	2.14	2.53
know I can't afford it							

Table 5. Comparative analysis of US and Indian consumers for low involvement purchases (ANOVA)

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
When answering the following items please B		18.253	1	18.253	5.937	.016 **
think of them in regards to small purchases w	Within Groups	790.172	257	3.075		
purchases when I absolutely need to		808.425	258			
When answering the following items please B		45.585	1	45.585	19.375	.000 ***
think of them in regards to small purchases V	Within Groups	604.655	257	2.353		
(DVDs, personaI consult with family/friends before making the purchase	Γotal	650.239	258			
When answering the following items please B	Between Groups	14.423	1	14.423	5.735	.017 **
think of them in regards to small purchases V	Within Groups	646.318	257	2.515		
(DVDs, personaI consult with an expert/perform research before making the T purchase	Γotal	660.741	258			
When answering the following items please B	Between Groups	.933	1	.933	.241	.624
think of them in regards to small purchases V		994.511	257	3.870		
(DVDs, personaI make sure to keep the receipt in a safe place	Total	995.444	258			
When answering the following items please B	Between Groups	3.141	1	3.141	1.125	.290
think of them in regards to small purchases W	Within Groups	717.392	257	2.791		
(DVDs, personaI wonder if I chose the right product after the purchase	Total	720.533	258			
When answering the following items please B	Between Groups	61.133	1	61.133	22.514	*** 000.
think of them in regards to small purchases V	Within Groups	697.832	257	2.715		
(DVDs, personaI think brand name purchases are the best choice	Total	758.965	258			
When answering the following items please B	Between Groups	11.354	1	11.354	4.172	.042 **
think of them in regards to small purchases V	Within Groups	699.457	257	2.722		

(DVDs, personaI feel excited after making a purchase When answering the following items please	Between Groups	710.811 1.673	258 1	1.673	.502	.479
think of them in regards to small purchases (DVDs, personaI feel excited when I plan	•	856.837	257	3.334		
shopping trips	Total	858.510	258			
When answering the following items please think of them in regards to small purchases	Within Groups	.373 948.585	1 257	.373 3.691	.101	.751
(DVDs, personaI figure out my finances before making a purchase	Total	948.958	258			
When answering the following items please think of them in regards to small purchases (DVDs, personaI don't care how much I	Within Groups	8.294 776.053	1 257	8.294 3.020	2.747	.099 *
spent as long as I will get good use out of the product		784.347	258			
When answering the following items please		6.040	1	6.040	2.568	.110
think of them in regards to small purchases (DVDs, personaI later wish I had the	-	604.485	257	2.352		
money instead of the product I recently purchased	Total	610.525	258			
When answering the following items please think of them in regards to small purchases	Within Groups	20.846 457.950	1 257	20.846 1.782	11.699	.001 ***
(DVDs, personaI feel upset if people do not compliment me on my recent purchase	Total	478.795	258			
When answering the following items please think of them in regards to small purchases (DVDs, personaI make purchases when I know I can't afford it	Retween Grains	.046 637.730 637.776	1 257 258	.046 2.481	.018	.892

Note: \* denotes p: 0.10 or lesser, \*\* denotes p: 0.05 or lesser, \*\*\* denotes p: 0.01 or lesser

From Table 5, we can see that out of 13 parameters, there are significant differences (at varying level of confidence) in seven parameters between US and Indian consumers while involved in low ticket purchase. The parameters where there is very high level of significant difference (at 99% confidence level) are – consulting family / friends before making small purchase, trusting the brand names, and feeling upset if people do not compliment on the purchase. The parameters having significant difference at 95% confidence level are – making low value purchases only when they are absolutely needed, consulting expert / performing research before doing the low value purchase, and feeling excited after the purchase. The parameter having significant difference at 90% confidence level is – not caring how much is spent on the product as long as the customer gets good value from it. The higher mean response from Indian customers in the case of the all of these parameters (as observed from Table 4) can again be attributed to more risk-averse nature of Indian customers with regards to even small purchase. The Indian customers have less disposable incomes and even small purchases are relatively more risky for them. Customers in India mitigate the risk by consulting family / friends, banking on experts / doing market research or going for established brand names. The Indian respondents giving high importance to purchasing even low involvement purchases only when they are truly needed may also mean a relatively less phenomena of impulse purchase behavior in India compared to the US. The feeling of excitement after making even a small purchase has come out to be significantly higher in India. Apart from basic necessities like food and grocery, any other purchase is seen as more of an indulgence in a country like India where the per capita income is much lower than the developed countries. Hence, any such purchase is a moment to celebrate and being excited on owning something. The parameter of other's appreciation towards the non-expensive purchase has come out as of very low importance in both the countries; still the Indian response is significantly higher conveying a higher need for social approval in India and a stronger individualistic behavior in USA.

The parameters where there is no statistical difference in responses from the two countries, as regards to small purchases, are –keeping the receipt safely, having negative thoughts after the purchase, feeling excited while planning the shopping trip, figuring out the finances before making the purchase, regretting having spent money on

that purchase and making such purchases even when they were not affordable. The responses for all of these parameters have tilted towards lower frequency which is understandable considering that these are in context of small purchases.

We then proceeded to understand the level of post purchase remorse and dissonance reducing behavior of customers in both the countries. This data was also subjected to one-way ANOVA and the results can be seen below (Tables 6 and 7).

Table 6

				N	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error	95% Confor Mean	fidence Interval
								Lower Bound	Upper Bound
When I make a purchase that I	India			114	3.73	1.892	.177	3.38	4.08
later regret, ITry to make a plan of action to return the	United	States	of	145	4.54	1.760	.146	4.25	4.83
item/get my money back	Total			259	4.18	1.860	.116	3.95	4.41
When I make a purchase that I				114	4.41	1.617	.151	4.11	4.71
later regret, IGenerate potential solutions	United America	States	of	145	4.72	1.543	.128	4.47	4.98
	Total			259	4.59	1.581	.098	4.39	4.78
When I make a purchase that I		<b>G</b>	0	114	4.32	1.570	.147	4.02	4.61
later regret, IConcentrate my efforts to be able to keep the		States	of	145	3.71	1.472	.122	3.47	3.95
product	Total			259	3.98	1.542	.096	3.79	4.17
When I make a purchase that I		<b>G</b>	0	114	4.32	1.484	.139	4.05	4.60
	United America	States	of	145	4.10	1.651	.137	3.83	4.37
objective	Total			259	4.20	1.581	.098	4.00	4.39
When I make a purchase that I	India			114	3.96	1.744	.163	3.64	4.29
later regret, IDistract myself to avoid thinking about it	United America	States	of	145	2.90	1.660	.138	2.63	3.18
avoid tilliking about it	Total			259	3.37	1.775	.110	3.15	3.59
When I make a purchase that I	India			114	4.89	1.590	.149	4.59	5.18
later regret, ITry to look at the bright side of the purchase	United America	States	of	145	4.43	1.628	.135	4.16	4.69
	Total			259	4.63	1.624	.101	4.43	4.83
When I make a purchase that I				114	4.99	1.531	.143	4.71	5.28
later regret, ITry to focus on the positive aspects of the		States	of	145	4.58	1.597	.133	4.32	4.84
purchase	Total			259	4.76	1.579	.098	4.57	4.95
When I make a purchase that I	India			114	5.25	1.380	.129	4.99	5.50
later regret, ITry to make the best of the situation	United America	States	of	145	4.77	1.586	.132	4.51	5.03
best of the situation	Total			259	4.98	1.515	.094	4.79	5.16
When I make a purchase that I	India			114	3.53	1.641	.154	3.22	3.83
later regret, IRefuse to believe	United America	States	of	145	2.82	1.711	.142	2.54	3.10
that the regret even exists	Total			259	3.13	1.714	.106	2.92	3.34
377 T 1 1 1 1 7 7 7	India			114	2.72	1.659	.155	2.41	3.03
When I make a purchase that I later regret, IPretend that the	United America	States	of	145	2.35	1.627	.135	2.08	2.62
purchase never happened	Total			259	2.51	1.648	.102	2.31	2.72

Table 7. Comparative analysis of US and Indian consumers for dissonance reducing behaviour (ANOVA)

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
When I make a purchase		41.859	1	41.859	12.647	.000 ***
that I later regret, ITry to make a plan of action to	Within Groups	850.612	257	3.310		
return the item/get my money back	Total	892.471	258			
When I make a purchase	Between Groups Within Groups	6.207 638.588	1 257	6.207 2.485	2.498	.115
IGenerate potential solutions	Total	644.795	258			
When I make a purchase that I later regret, IConcentrate my efforts	Between Groups Within Groups	23.395 590.466	1 257	23.395 2.298	10.183	.002 ***
to be able to keep the product	Total	613.861	258			
When I make a purchase that I later regret, ITry to		3.318 641.640	1 257	3.318 2.497	1.329	.250
step back from the situation and be objective	Total	644.958	258			
When I make a purchase that I later regret,	Between Groups Within Groups	71.909 740.508	1 257	71.909 2.881	24.957	.000 ***
IDistract myself to avoid thinking about it	Total	812.417	258			
When I make a purchase that I later regret, ITry to		13.410 667.007	1 257	13.410 2.595	5.167	.024 **
look at the bright side of the purchase	Total	680.417	258			
When I make a purchase that I later regret, ITry to		10.829 632.329	1 257	10.829 2.460	4.401	.037 **
focus on the positive aspects of the purchase	Total	643.158	258			
When I make a purchase that I later regret, ITry to	Within Groups	14.711 577.150	1 257	14.711 2.246	6.551	.011 **
make the best of the situation	Total	591.861	258			
When I make a purchase that I later regret,	Within Groups	31.778 725.759	1 257	31.778 2.824	11.253	.001 ***
IRefuse to believe that the regret even exists		757.537	258			
When I make a purchase that I later regret,	Between Groups Within Groups	8.623 692.080	1 257	8.623 2.693	3.202	.075 *
IPretend that the purchase never happened	Total	700.703	258			

Note: \* denotes p: 0.10 or lesser, \*\* denotes p: 0.05 or lesser, \*\*\* denotes p: 0.01 or lesser

As seen from Table 7, the parameters where there is very high significant difference (at 99% confidence level) in responses between the USA and Indian customers with regards to post purchase dissonance reducing behavior are – trying to return the product / get the refund, concentrate on the effort to keep the product, distract self to avoid thinking about it, and refusing to believe that the regret even exists. The other parameters where significantly high difference (at 95% confidence level) between US and Indian responses are – try to look at the bright side of the purchase, try to focus on the positive aspects of the purchase and try to make the best of the situation. Moreover, in one parameter (pretend that the purchase never happened), there is a significant difference at 90% confidence level. As seen from Table 6, the response from US customers on the first parameter is much higher than that from Indian

customers which indicates that they are more confident and active in seeking a return / refund for a purchase which does not satisfy them. This is a reflection of more mature consumer market in USA than India as well as wider extension of such facility by the marketers due to higher level of competition. All the other seven parameters are opposite to the first parameter. These parameters are about dissonance reducing behavior and significantly higher mean responses in all of them form Indian customers suggests that Indian customers are more likely to convince themselves to live with the situation rather than go back to the selling company and seek a solution.

The parameters where there is no significant difference in responses between the US and Indian customers with regards to post purchase dissonance reducing behavior are – generate potential solutions and relooking at the problem objectively. Though these two questions are confirmatory to each other, the mean response is higher from US respondents for the first parameter while lower for the second parameter. It seems that the second question out of these two was not clearly understood by the respondents.

#### 5. Conclusions and Recommendations

This study indicates that there are major differences between US and Indian consumers with respect to the variables which create cognitive dissonance. These results are very robust since they have been generated with the appropriate statistical analysis and at very high degree of confidence. These differences are more pronounced in the case of big ticket purchases though very much present in small ticket purchases as well. Global companies cannot think of replicating their successful practices and marketing models from USA in India. Indian customers are more risk averse. They do higher level of consultation from family, friends, experts and do more research before even relatively inexpensive purchases, not to mention high involvement purchases. The decision making and actual purchase in India is more collectivistic while the US consumers are more individualistic in their behavior. Companies should build in this aspect in their communication policies, store locations and store designs. Indian consumers put more trust in well-known brands. Companies should build up their brand credibility in India because this effort is likely to pay them higher return in Indian market. The decision of purchasing anything, apart from basic necessities, is a more carefully thought out process in India and is considered only when the need is clear and present. The company, wanting to do well in India, should research and understand the importance of the right branding strategy and appropriate occasion for the purchase of its products / services for the target segment and build its marketing activities around them. In the case of big ticket purchases, our study was able to identify an important need for others appreciation of the purchase in Indian consumers. The companies selling big ticket items like consumer durables, high end mobiles, fashion apparels, and automobiles etc. will do well to build the social acceptance angle in their communication strategies. We also identified the higher importance in Indian consumers of keeping the receipt safely. This can be an important dissonance reducing measure if the firm can assure the consumer that the receipt is not the only mechanism he / she has for obtaining the solution to a grievance. One way is having a permanent sticker on the product itself where the date of purchase, invoice number and customer assistance telephone number can be given. Electronic receipts are easier to archive (and access, when needed) and might alleviate some of the post purchase dissonance of the customers. Our study also concluded that US consumers are more confident in dealing with companies in asking for a product return or refund of their money. Indian consumers appeared more habitual of generating own dissonance reducing thoughts and more self-convincing in nature. Any firm which provides higher dissonance reducing measures could actually be able to leverage their efforts as a competitive advantage. As in any study of this magnitude that traverses nations and cultures, readers must be cautioned about certain limitations. The online survey mechanism has led to the sample being biased towards young, well educated and financially better-off customers. This method, though adequately appropriate for this study, may not reflect the true picture of the consumers, particularly in India. Further, this study has used the term big ticket and inexpensive purchase in a very generic way to get the responses. But within these two categories also there can be major differences in responses based on specific product purchase situation. Any future researcher may take up specific product categories in high and low involvement situations and try to assess the differences between US and Indian consumers' cognitive dissonance behavior.

In addition, we propose that culture could play a role in dealing with cognitive dissonance, and presents a rich area for future research. The framework developed by Geert Hofstede (1984) is commonly used to explore cultural differences in decision-making in international marketing literature. Hofstede (1984) proposes four dimensions on which a culture can be measured: power distance (cultural view of authority and subordination) individualism and collectivism (determinants of participants in decision-making), uncertainty avoidance (cultural view of risk behavior) and long-term orientation (view of time) and has developed indices that are useful in measuring and comparing cultures.

The Power Distance Index (PDI) for India (77) is far higher than the world average of 57 and USA (40). This suggests

that the less powerful members of Indian society tend to be far more accepting of the inequality of power and wealth than in most other cultures. Indians score relatively low on the Individualism versus Collectivism (IDV) index (48) compared to the U.S. (91), but near the world average of 40. This suggests that Indians culturally tend toward collective solutions compared to the U.S., rather than being highly individualistic. The actions of an individual in India tend to be influenced by the opinion of others with which an individual is connected whether with family members or friends. India's low ranking (40) on the Uncertainty Avoidance (UAI) is far below the world average of 65, but similar to that of the U.S. (46). This indicates that the culture tends to be somewhat open to unstructured ideas and situations. The population may have fewer rules and regulations for use in attempting to control every unknown and unexpected event or situation and existing rules are often "bypassed." India's Long Term Orientation (LTO) Dimension score is 61, well above the world average of 48 and that for the U.S. (29). Indians tend to look toward the long term and the practical. Time is not as important as it is in the U.S. – people are often forgiven for a lack of punctuality. It is not unusual for Indians to let things "play out" rather than working to formulate and execute an exact plan of action.

To summarize, one can clearly see the parallels from prevalent culture in assessing and resolving cognitive dissonance. We believe that future research in this stream would benefit from including these cultural dimensions, something that was not the focus of our research.

### References

- Cummings, W. H., & Venkatesan, M. (1975). Cognitive dissonance and consumer behavior: a review of the evidence. *Advances in Consumer Behavior*, 2(1), 210.
- Duhachek, A. (2005). Coping: a multidimensional hierarchical framework of responses to stressful consumption episodes. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 32(1), 41-53. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/426612
- Festinger, L. (1957). A Theory of Cognitive Dissonance. Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA.
- Gbadamosi, A. (2009). Cognitive dissonance: the implicit explication in low income consumers' shopping behavior for "low-involvement" grocery products. *International Journal of Retailing and Distribution Management,* 37(12), 1077-1095. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09590550911005038
- George, B. P., & Edward, M. (2009). Cognitive dissonance and purchase involvement in the consumer behavior context. *IUP Journal of Marketing Management*, 8(3/4), 7-24.
- Hofstede, G. (1984). Culture's consequences: international differences in work-related values. In W.J. Lonner, and J.W. Berry (Eds.), *The Sage Series on Cross-cultural Research and Methodology*. Sage Publications: CA.
- Miyamoto, Y., Nisbett, R. E., & Masuda, T. (2006). Culture and the physical environment: holistic versus analytic perceptual affordances. *Psychological Science*, *17*, 113-119. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2006.01673.x
- Monga, B. A., & John, D. R. (2007). Evaluation: the influence of analytic versus holistic thinking. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 33(4), 529-536. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/510227
- Nisbett, R. E., Peng, K., Choi, I., & Norenzayan, A. (2001). Culture and systems of thought: holistic versus analytic cognition. *Psychological Review*, *108*(2), 291-310. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.108.2.291
- Oliver, R. L. (2006). Customer Satisfaction Research. In R. Grover and M. Vriens (Eds.), *Handbook of Marketing Research* (pp. 569-87). Sage Publications: CA.
- Powers, T., & Jack, E. P. (2013). The influence of cognitive dissonance on retail product returns. *Psychology and Marketing*, 30(8), 724-735. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mar.20640