Caffeine bars used as pre-exercise supplements influence endurance performance, energy metabolism and perception of effort in trained cyclists
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Abstract

Background: In contrast to caffeine bars, the effect of caffeine intake from tablets and energy drinks on endurance performance has already been investigated. Therefore, the aim of the study is to examine the effects of caffeine bars used as pre-exercise supplements on endurance performance in cycling.

Methods: The present study was designed as a randomized single-blind cross-over placebo-controlled trial. Nine male, trained cyclists completed endurance exercises on a cycling ergometer under the following conditions: ingestion of water (WAT), placebo bars (PLA) and caffeine bars (CAF; 5 mg caffeine/kg bodyweight), respectively, 45 min prior to the test. After 40 min at a constant intensity of 75% VO₂max (assessed in a previously performed incremental test) load was increased 10 W/min until exhaustion.

Results: CAF compared to PLA resulted in a higher maximal power and longer time to exhaustion (p = .002). Surprisingly, concentration of free fatty acids was lower at exhaustion (p = .004), whereas blood lactate levels (p = .021) and heart rate (p = .008) were significantly higher after CAF. Subjects also reported lower perceived effort of effort at warm-up (0.034), 30 min (p = .026) and 40 min (p = .041) only after CAF.

Conclusions: Caffeine bars are useful pre-exercise supplements. Their performance enhancing effect was rather due to a delayed perception of fatigue than an increased lipolysis, proving caffeine as central nervous system stimulant.
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1 Introduction

Caffeine is the most consumed biologically active substance obtained from food, e.g. it is found in coffee, tea and chocolate. The intake of caffeine stimulates the central nervous system, enhances the level of attention and alertness and reduces fatigue [¹, ²]. Depending on the dosage of caffeine possible side effects are tachycardia, hypertension, tremor,
diuresis and impaired performance\cite{3,4}. Several studies have shown that an intake of 3 to 6 mg caffeine per kg bodymass or 450 mg improves performance of athletes especially in endurance exercise\cite{3,5-7} without risking dehydration or imbalance of the electrolyte household\cite{8}.

Ingested caffeine is absorbed quickly and nearly complete in the gastrointestinal tract. The highest concentration of caffeine in blood is reached 30 to 60 min after ingestion\cite{9,10}. The performance boosting effect is due to mechanisms on cellular level. Caffeine leads to an increased secretion of catecholamines (adrenaline, noradrenaline), which results in the activation of adenylyl cyclase in fatty tissue and the elevation in the cAMP level\cite{11,12}. Consequently, the hormone-sensitive lipase (HSL) is activated. The increased lipolysis elevates the plasma concentration of free fatty acids\cite{13,14}. Those enter the muscle cells and can be used for oxidative metabolism, whereas the glycolysis is inhibited ("glycogen sparing effect")\cite{15,16}. Therefore, the effect of caffeine ingestion on performance is due to an enhanced metabolism of triglycerides in fatty tissue (lipolysis) and oxidation of free fatty acids\cite{17}. More importantly, caffeine inhibits the adenosine receptor and has an antagonistic effect on endogenous adenosine\cite{18}. Consequently, the release of neurotransmitters is enhanced and stimulates the central nervous system\cite{1,8,19}. Furthermore, caffeine improves neuromuscular function by caffeine-stimulated release of calcium ions from the sarcoplasmatic reticulum\cite{20,21}.

Because of the performance enhancing effect caffeine has gained growing importance as a supplement before\cite{1} and during exercise\cite{7}. Graham et al.\cite{16,22} made clear that the way caffeine is consumed highly influences the effect, e.g. the consumption of coffee results in a limitation of performance benefits. Previous studies have investigated performance markers, such as maximal oxygen consumption, maximal power and time to exhaustion, as well as energy metabolism (blood lactate, glucose and free fatty acids) after caffeine intake in the form of tablets\cite{22}, energy drinks\cite{23,24} or electrolyte solutions\cite{15,25}. In contrast, the effect of caffeine bars on endurance performance has not been examined sufficiently. Furthermore, studies have shown that the co-ingestion of caffeine and carbohydrates improves the absorption of carbohydrates and their availability for energy supply\cite{26}.

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to examine the effects of a caffeine bar as pre-exercise supplement on endurance performance, energy metabolism and rating of perceived exertion (RPE) in trained cyclists. Compared to a placebo bar, only the caffeine bar was expected to enhance performance and delay time to exhaustion in a cycling exercise.

2 Methods

2.1 Subjects

To provide a low test-retest-variability of performance measures and to prevent performance benefits from repeated ergometer tests\cite{27}, subjects had to be trained cyclists. Nine male participants (age: 26 ± 5 years; height: 180.4 ± 6.3 cm; bodyweight: 77.2 ± 9.8 kg; body fat: 11.0 ± 3.0%) with a regular training of 5-10 h per week were directly recruited from local cycling clubs. Only subjects with a low habitual caffeine intake of approximately 3 cups of coffee (equal to 250 mg/d) 10 weeks prior to the intervention were included. Exclusion criteria were any cardiovascular, metabolic, neurological, pulmonary or orthopedic complications that could limit performance. Additionally, the intake of hormones, medicaments and drugs led to exclusion. All participants were informed about possible study risks as well as benefits and gave written consent. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg.

2.2 Preliminary testing

All participants underwent a health screening following the S1-guideline of the DGSP\cite{28}, including a personal anamnesis, ECG at rest and blood pressure measurement to ensure the safety of performance.
Body composition was assessed with a bioimpedance measuring device (Tanita, Modell TBF-521, USA). Prior to the experimental trials, subjects performed an incremental test to volitional exhaustion with spirometry (Cortex, Metamax 3b, Germany) on a high performance cycling ergometer (FES, Germany) to assess maximal power and oxygen consumption (VO₂max). After a 10 min warm up at 100 W the workload was increased 30 W/3 min until cyclists were unable to maintain a cadence of at least 60 rpm. Respiratory parameters and heart rate were recorded continuously. After each stage blood lactate levels were measured in 10 µL blood taken from an ear lobe with the enzymatic-amperometric method (Dr. Mueller, model Super GL ambulance, Germany). Collected data were analyzed with WinLactat 3.1 (Mesics GmbH, Germany) to determine lactate threshold according to the model of Dickhuth et al. [29]. The maximal oxygen consumption was used as reference value to calculate the workload for the following testing procedures.

2.3 Experimental design

The study was designed as a randomized single-blind cross-over placebo-controlled trial (see Table 1). The experiment comprised the repetition of an endurance exercise on a cycling ergometer under the following conditions in a randomized order: ingestion of water (WAT), placebo bars (PLA; carbohydrates: 18.5 g, protein: 5.4 g, fat: 13.5 g, fibre: 2.8 g) and caffeine bars (CAF; carbohydrates: 22 g, protein: 3.2 g, fat: 8 g, fibre: 1.1 g, caffeine: 0.1 g) respectively, 45 min prior to the test. The exercises were performed on three separate days (8 days, 15 days and 22 days after the initial assessment of the maximal power and oxygen consumption). Following the measurement of bodyweight, subjects ingested a weight related dose of caffeine (5 mg caffeine per kg) or placebo bars (identical number of bars) with 200 ml water/bar or the same amount of water only. An ECG was recorded 10 min before the endurance exercise. The ergometer test with spirometry included a warm-up period of 10 min at 50% VO₂max, followed by a 40 min endurance exercise at 75% VO₂max. At the end of the endurance exercise the intensity was increased 10 W/min until voluntary exhaustion, which was defined as inability to maintain a cadence of at least 60 rpm. Similar to the initial incremental test, respiratory parameters and heart rate were recorded continuously. At rest, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 minutes and after exhaustion RPE was recorded and blood lactate and glucose levels were measured. At rest, 40 minutes and after exhaustion free fatty acids were analyzed in 150 µl blood taken from an ear lobe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>1st day</th>
<th>8th day</th>
<th>15th day</th>
<th>22nd day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>INC</td>
<td>WAT</td>
<td>PLA</td>
<td>CAF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>INC</td>
<td>CAF</td>
<td>WAT</td>
<td>PLA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>INC</td>
<td>PLA</td>
<td>CAF</td>
<td>WAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>INC</td>
<td>WAT</td>
<td>PLA</td>
<td>CAF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>INC</td>
<td>CAF</td>
<td>WAT</td>
<td>PLA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>INC</td>
<td>PLA</td>
<td>CAF</td>
<td>WAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>INC</td>
<td>WAT</td>
<td>PLA</td>
<td>CAF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>INC</td>
<td>CAF</td>
<td>WAT</td>
<td>PLA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>INC</td>
<td>PLA</td>
<td>CAF</td>
<td>WAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. INC: initial incremental ergometer test; WAT: ingestion of water 45 min before the endurance exercise; PLA: ingestion of placebo bars 45 min before the endurance exercise; CAF: ingestion of caffeine bars 45 min before the endurance

2.4 Standardization procedures

The exercise tests were performed at a room temperature of 20°C, the same time of day and with identical seat position. Subjects were asked to refrain from the consumption of caffeine over the whole intervention period, starting three days before the initial assessment. Furthermore, the last meal had to be 3 hours prior to the test. The nutrition within 12 h before the initial assessment was recorded with a protocol. In preparation of the exercise tests participants had to follow the
protocol to guarantee a comparable nutrition. Between the tests under different conditions athletes maintained their regular training at low to moderate intensity, but did not take part in competitions.

### 2.5 Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed on SPSS version 19.0. After a histogram analysis data were checked for normal distribution with Kolmogorov-Smirnov-Test. In case of normal distribution and metric data Student’s T-test was applied. The non-parametric Wilcoxon test was used for analysis of ordinal data with skewed distribution. A criterion alpha level of \( p \leq .05 \) was used to determine statistical significance. All data are reported as mean ± SD. The following variables were selected to identify significant differences between the test conditions: maximal power [W], time to exhaustion [min], oxygen consumption \([\text{ml.min}^{-1} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}]\), RER, heart rate \([\text{beats.min}^{-1}]\), RPE, blood lactate \([\text{mmol.l}^{-1}]\), blood glucose \([\text{mmol.l}^{-1}]\), free fatty acids \([\text{mmol.l}^{-1}]\).

### 3 Results

All subjects successfully completed the study. The results of the initial incremental ergometer test are shown in Table 2. The average workload (75% VO\(_2\)max) for the endurance exercises was 246.7 ± 27.4 W. At rest (pre-exercise) there were no differences in heart rate, oxygen consumption and RPE between the conditions. As expressed in Figure 1, the ingestion of caffeine bars compared to conditions WAT and PLA resulted in a higher maximal power \((p = .002)\) and longer time to exhaustion \((\text{WAT vs CAF}; p = .001; \text{PLA vs CAF}; p = .002)\).

**Table 2.** Results of the initial incremental test (mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VO(_2)max ([\text{ml.min}^{-1} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}])</th>
<th>P(_\text{max}) ([\text{W}])</th>
<th>HR(_\text{max}) ([\text{min}^{-1}])</th>
<th>LA(_\text{max}) ([\text{mmol.l}^{-1}])</th>
<th>RER(_\text{max})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>58.0 ± 5.6</td>
<td>400.3 ± 20.0</td>
<td>182.4 ± 6.5</td>
<td>10.02 ± 0.79</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Notes.* VO\(_2\)max: maximal oxygen consumption; P\(_\text{max}\): maximal workload; HR\(_\text{max}\): maximal heart rate; LA\(_\text{max}\): maximal blood lactate; RER\(_\text{max}\): maximal respiratory exchange ratio

![Figure 1. Time to exhaustion and maximal power after ingestion of water (WAT), placebo bars (PLA) and caffeine bars (CAF)](image)

**Respiratory parameters:** The flow of respiratory parameters throughout the exercise is presented in Table 3. Statistically significant differences of the oxygen consumption were found between conditions WAT and PLA during the warm up period \((p = .035)\) and after 10 minutes \((p = .037)\). The ingestion of caffeine bars resulted in a statistical significantly higher RER at rest \((\text{WAT vs CAF}; p = .007; \text{PLA vs CAF}; p = .015)\). Throughout the endurance exercise no differences in RER were found between the conditions. However, the RER was statistical significantly higher after ingestion of caffeine bars compared to water at exhaustion \((p = .002)\).
Heart rate: Heart rate (see Table 4) was significantly higher after ingestion of caffeine bars vs water at 10 (p = .05) and 20 min (p = .037). Compared to conditions WAT and PLA the ingestion of caffeine bars resulted in a significantly higher heart rate at exhaustion (p = .008), which was similar to the maximal heart rate measured in the initial incremental test. This difference was also found 3 min post-exercise between the conditions WAT and CAF (p = .017).

Table 3. Respiratory parameters measured under different conditions before, during and after the endurance test (mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Rest</th>
<th>Warm-Up</th>
<th>10 min</th>
<th>20 min</th>
<th>30 min</th>
<th>40 min</th>
<th>EX</th>
<th>Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>VO₂</strong></td>
<td><strong>WAT</strong></td>
<td>6.4 ± 2.0</td>
<td>26.0 ± 3.5</td>
<td>43.5 ± 4.1</td>
<td>44.8 ± 3.9</td>
<td>44.6 ± 4.1</td>
<td>45.2 ± 4.8</td>
<td>50.4 ± 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ml min⁻¹ kg⁻¹]</td>
<td><strong>PLA</strong></td>
<td>7.4 ± 2.0</td>
<td>27.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>45.1 ± 3.2</td>
<td>44.5 ± 3.0</td>
<td>46.0 ± 4.2</td>
<td>46.0 ± 4.2</td>
<td>49.4 ± 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAF</strong></td>
<td>6.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>26.4 ± 2.5</td>
<td>43.6 ± 3.5</td>
<td>44.0 ± 3.4</td>
<td>44.5 ± 3.4</td>
<td>44.5 ± 3.4</td>
<td>52.2 ± 7.4</td>
<td>25.4 ± 9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RER</strong></td>
<td><strong>WAT</strong></td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PLA</strong></td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAF</strong></td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.07*</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.03*</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < .05 compared to PLA; #p < .05 compared to WAT;
WAT: ingestion of water 45 min before the endurance exercise; PLA: ingestion of placebo bars 45 min before the endurance exercise; CAF: ingestion of caffeine bars 45 min before the endurance; EX: exhaustion; statistical analysis for RER and VO₂ was performed with Student’s T-test

Table 4. Heart rate and RPE measured under different conditions before, during and after the endurance test (mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Rest</th>
<th>Warm-Up</th>
<th>10 min</th>
<th>20 min</th>
<th>30 min</th>
<th>40 min</th>
<th>EX</th>
<th>Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RPE</strong></td>
<td><strong>WAT</strong></td>
<td>10.0 ± 1.6</td>
<td>13.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>15.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>16.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>17.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>19.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[6-20]</td>
<td><strong>PLA</strong></td>
<td>10.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>13.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>15.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>16.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>17.7 ± 1.6</td>
<td>19.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAF</strong></td>
<td>9.3 ± 1.5*</td>
<td>13.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>14.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>15.3 ± 1.0*</td>
<td>16.2 ± 1.2*#</td>
<td>19.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate</td>
<td><strong>WAT</strong></td>
<td>65 ± 7</td>
<td>113 ± 10</td>
<td>145 ± 10</td>
<td>154 ± 7</td>
<td>161 ± 6</td>
<td>165 ± 7</td>
<td>177 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[1 min⁻¹]</td>
<td><strong>PLA</strong></td>
<td>68 ± 7</td>
<td>114 ± 8</td>
<td>152 ± 9</td>
<td>158 ± 8</td>
<td>163 ± 9</td>
<td>169 ± 8</td>
<td>178 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAF</strong></td>
<td>67 ± 10</td>
<td>116 ± 9</td>
<td>151 ± 7*</td>
<td>157 ± 7*</td>
<td>163 ± 7</td>
<td>168 ± 8</td>
<td>183 ± 9*#</td>
<td>141 ± 20*#</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < .05 compared to PLA; #p < .05 compared to WAT;
WAT: ingestion of water 45 min before the endurance exercise; PLA: ingestion of placebo bars 45 min before the endurance exercise; CAF: ingestion of caffeine bars 45 min before the endurance; EX: exhaustion; statistical analysis for heart rate and RPE was performed with Wilcoxon test

Table 5. Blood lactate, glucose and free fatty acids measured under different conditions before, during and after the endurance test (mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Rest</th>
<th>Warm-Up</th>
<th>10 min</th>
<th>20 min</th>
<th>30 min</th>
<th>40 min</th>
<th>EX</th>
<th>Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lactate</strong></td>
<td><strong>WAT</strong></td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.52</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.79</td>
<td>2.04 ± 0.79</td>
<td>2.25 ± 1.15</td>
<td>4.97 ± 1.26</td>
<td>3.98 ± 1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[mmol l⁻¹]</td>
<td><strong>PLA</strong></td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.37*</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.11</td>
<td>2.24 ± 0.74</td>
<td>2.37 ± 0.71</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.89*</td>
<td>2.81 ± 1.12*#</td>
<td>5.19 ± 1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAF</strong></td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.54*</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.28*</td>
<td>2.27 ± 0.88</td>
<td>2.53 ± 0.93*</td>
<td>2.62 ± 0.98*</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.84</td>
<td>7.25 ± 1.41*#</td>
<td>6.66 ± 1.55*#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Glucose</strong></td>
<td><strong>WAT</strong></td>
<td>4.70 ± 0.51</td>
<td>4.17 ± 0.69</td>
<td>4.15 ± 0.68</td>
<td>4.28 ± 0.34</td>
<td>4.43 ± 0.49</td>
<td>4.79 ± 0.72</td>
<td>4.82 ± 0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[mmol l⁻¹]</td>
<td><strong>PLA</strong></td>
<td>4.75 ± 0.53</td>
<td>3.96 ± 0.59</td>
<td>3.82 ± 0.46</td>
<td>4.13 ± 0.43</td>
<td>4.39 ± 0.25</td>
<td>4.63 ± 0.42</td>
<td>4.73 ± 0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAF</strong></td>
<td>4.93 ± 0.54</td>
<td>3.98 ± 0.85</td>
<td>3.82 ± 0.57</td>
<td>4.11 ± 0.37</td>
<td>4.34 ± 0.44</td>
<td>4.50 ± 0.60</td>
<td>4.76 ± 0.79</td>
<td>5.84 ± 1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Free fatty acids</strong></td>
<td><strong>WAT</strong></td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[mmol l⁻¹]</td>
<td><strong>PLA</strong></td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.07</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAF</strong></td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.11*</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.11*#</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < .05 compared to PLA; #p < .05 compared to WAT;
WAT: ingestion of water 45 min before the endurance exercise; PLA: ingestion of placebo bars 45 min before the endurance exercise; CAF: ingestion of caffeine bars 45 min before the endurance; EX: exhaustion; statistical analysis for free fatty acids and glucose was performed with Student’s T-test; statistical analysis for lactate was performed with Wilcoxon test
Energy metabolism: As shown in Table 5, the intake of the placebo bar and the caffeine bar compared to water resulted in higher lactate levels at rest (WAT vs PLA: \( p = .011 \); WAT vs CAF: \( p = .012 \)). Differences between WAT and PLA were also found at 30 and 40 minutes (\( p = .008 \)). After the ingestion of caffeine bars subjects had higher lactate levels than in WAT at almost all measuring time points (20 min: \( p = .028 \); 30 min: \( p = .051 \); exhaustion: \( p = .011 \); post-exercise: \( p = .008 \)), except warm-up, 10 min and 40 min. Differences of lactate levels between conditions PLA and CAF were statistically significant at warm-up (\( p = .012 \)), exhaustion (\( p = .021 \)) and 3 min post-exercise (\( p = .021 \)). In contrast, the pre-exercise supplements had no impact on blood glucose levels. At exhaustion the concentration of free fatty acids was significantly lower after the ingestion of caffeine bars (WAT vs CAF: \( p = .012 \); PLA vs CAF: \( p = .004 \)). Additionally, a significant difference between WAT and CAF was also found at 40 min (\( p = .04 \)).

Perceived exertion: During the warm up period the RPE (see Table 4) was significantly lower after the ingestion of caffeine bars compared to the placebo bar (\( p = .034 \)). At 30 (WAT vs CAF: \( p = .037 \); PLA vs CAF: \( p = .026 \)) and 40 min (WAT vs CAF: \( p = .01 \); PLA vs CAF: \( p = .041 \)) subjects reported a lower RPE after the ingestion of caffeine bars only.

4 Discussion

The effect of caffeine on sports performance has already been proved \[^3, 5\]. However, the underlying mechanisms for caffeine-improved performance are not entirely clear, although the antagonism of adenosine receptors \[^30\] and an increase in catecholamine release \[^31, 32\] provide an explanation in part. Additionally, caffeine is assumed to increase lipolysis, so that free fatty acids are more available for energy supply \[^33\], whereas the depletion of muscle glycogen is reduced especially during submaximal exercise intensity \[^34\].

Although subjects achieved higher maximal power and a longer time to exhaustion after the ingestion of caffeine bars in the present study, the effect seems not to be due to an increased lipolysis. On the contrary, the concentration of free fatty acids was significantly lower compared to the other test conditions at exhaustion. In contrast to Spriet et al. \[^34\] Graham et al. \[^4\] concluded that the ergogenic effect of caffeine is the result of a conversion of the fat and carbohydrate metabolism. Taking into account that the lactate levels were higher after ingestion of caffeine bars, the mobilization of free fatty acids was reduced possibly due to the combined intake of caffeine and carbohydrates. Therefore, a higher insulin concentration through consumption of quickly available carbohydrates in the bars could have affected the lipolysis. In contrast, Thong et al. \[^35\] showed that the caffeine’s antagonism of the adenosine receptor induces an insulin resistance and inhibits glucose absorption in muscle cells. This mechanism might explain similar blood glucose levels between the test conditions \[^35, 36\]. Furthermore, the increased breakdown of glucose indicated a higher oxygen consumption after the ingestion of bars (both with and without caffeine), which was shown by an elevated RER already at rest.

Findings of the present study prove the previously reported ergogenic effect of caffeine on the RPE \[^37, 38\], as the perceived exertion was rated lower after ingestion of caffeine bars compared to other conditions at exhaustion. Laurent et al. \[^38\] reported an increased release of endorphins after caffeine intake, which influenced the fatigue-related pain perception after exercises at different intensities positively. Consequently, in the present study a lower perceived exertion at submaximal intensities after caffeine intake might be due to the increased release of endorphins and the inhibition of the adenosine receptor combined. Doherty and Smith \[^37\] showed that the impact of caffeine on the RPE increases with the maximal oxygen consumption of subjects.

In conclusion, the effect of caffeine on perceived exertion after 40 min at 75% VO\(_2\)max has contributed to an improved maximal power and longer time to exhaustion after ingestion of caffeine bars compared to the other conditions. As subjects had a delayed perception of fatigue exercise capacity was increased (higher RER and lactate levels). Hogervorst et al. \[^39\] also showed that caffeine bars improve physiological and mental performance. Whereas increased lactate levels and lower RPE account for the ergogenic aid of caffeine, the expected effects on blood glucose and concentration of free fatty acids...
acids were not proven. Therefore, caffeine seems to work as central nervous system stimulant that delays the perception of fatigue [40].

Limitations
In the present study only subjects were blinded to treatment, because the investigator prepared the supplements, knowing the test condition. Another limitation of the study was a small sample size of only 9 subjects. However, the low number of participants was selected according to previous investigations in this field [22, 23]. Furthermore, the weight related dose of the caffeine bars used by the authors is not suitable for an implementation into practice, because, for instance, an athlete of 100 kg bodyweight would have to consume 5 bars. This high intake of supplements could possibly affect performance and digestion during exercise. Therefore, especially in high impact sports the use of caffeine bars is not recommended. Further limitations were slight differences of the ingredients between the placebo and the caffeine bar. However, the composition of the caffeine bar was adjusted to neutralize the taste of caffeine, so that subjects were not able to recognize it as such. The interpretation of results is also limited as it was not examined whether the improved performance depended on the co-ingestion of carbohydrates and caffeine or the intake of caffeine only. Therefore, future studies should seek to compare the effects of caffeine supplementation in the form of bars (caffeine and carbohydrates) with pure caffeine ingestion (e.g. tablets).

5 Conclusion
As the co-ingestion of caffeine and carbohydrates in form of bars led to improved sports performance, it has to be considered as an important and useful pre-exercise supplement. Cyclists might also benefit from the ingestion of caffeine bars before intensive training sessions and competitions, because it delays the perception of fatigue and therefore allows higher workloads. The dose of caffeine bars in the present study did not affect performance or digestion and can be considered safe for athletes with a similar bodyweight.
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