Urban-rural difference in patients utilizing the service of telehealthcare
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ABSTRACT

There are concerns about the unbalanced distribution of healthcare resources between rural and urban areas. There have been attempts to use existing healthcare resources more effectively through telehealthcare. Usability is an important indicator for evaluating patient behavior and determining service improvements. Nevertheless, usability has not been studied extensively enough. This study analyzed the usability differences between urban and rural areas in Taiwan for a web portal used in a telehealthcare program. Data were collected for two years. Usability data includes the frequency of web portal patient logins, the frequency of glucose measurements, whether the records were transmitted to the system through 3G networks automatically or were manually inputted, and the correlation of the mean 3-month daily glucose levels and HbA1c results. Patients in urban areas logged into the web portal more frequently (p < .001) and recorded glucose levels more frequently (p = .003). More patients in the rural area transmitted their daily glucose levels using devices (p < .001). Mean 3-month daily glucose levels and HbA1c results appear to be highly consistent. Patients in urban areas did not readily change glucometer habits but were willing to log in to web portal and record daily glucose levels manually. Patients in rural areas were willing to use the 3G glucometer to transmit data more frequently. For patients in urban areas, web portals should provide more information and smart applications. For patients in rural areas, the application should be simple and easy to use.
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1. INTRODUCTION

There are concerns about the unbalanced distribution of healthcare resources between rural and urban areas for patients with diabetes mellitus. In rural areas, patients are at higher risk than those in urban areas due to less-frequent visits to the physician as well as less access to specialized care. Longer travel distance to the hospital is related to lower odds of receiving guideline-concordant care. Compared with urban patients, rural patients tend to have fewer visits, enter care later in the disease progression, have more serious symptoms at entry, receive lower-quality care, and need more expensive treatment. Some special-
ists have attempted to solve this problem using technology-supported telehealthcare,\cite{2} which supports patient monitoring and long-distance patient-physician communication.\cite{7–11} Telehealthcare, which has been proven effective in diabetes control,\cite{12,13} facilitates disease management and longitudinal health status monitoring and aims to use existing healthcare resources more effectively and reduce hospitalizations without increasing mortality.\cite{14–19}

Some studies applied telemedicine technology in dermatology and depression management in urban and rural areas. They found out that the clinical outcomes of the telemedicine are similar to the conventional care,\cite{5} meanwhile with less travel and time cost for the patients.\cite{5,20} However, the additional costs of equipments do make telemedicine technology expensive.\cite{5,6} A number of diabetes telehealthcare programs include patient self-management information systems, commonly known as patient portals or web portals.\cite{21,22} These facilitate self-care for diabetic patients while they are away from medical institutes. Between urban and rural areas, researchers have observed that for most patients, Internet access itself is not a primary barrier to the use of electronic health applications,\cite{22} and that the attitudes of patients to receiving medical care through a telehealthcare service were both positive and receptive.\cite{2} However, patients in different regions may have different requirements. Observing the way patients utilize telehealthcare services is essential for service adjustments, and usability is an important indicator representing interaction between patients and web portals or electronic health applications.\cite{21} Nevertheless, usability has been studied less extensively, mostly focusing on questionnaires or interviews rather than performance testing,\cite{21,23,24} and few studies have evaluated the usability differences between urban and rural areas. The aim of this study is to further investigate the way patients utilize telehealthcare services and compare usability differences between patients in urban and rural areas. The Methods section first briefly describes the hospital at which this study took place, the telehealthcare program mentioned in this research, patient enrollment, data collection, and the way the data is analyzed. The Results section presents the findings, which are further interpreted in the Discussion section. Finally, this research is concluded with the Conclusion section.

2. Method

This study was conducted in a teaching hospital in Taiwan, which in 2011 initiated a telehealthcare program for diabetes patients to provide a long-distance healthcare service. The program included a teleconsultant service, a web portal that is integrated with a third-generation mobile telecommunication (3G) glucometer.\cite{7,25} The teaching hospital had 5 branches, one of which was the Taipei city branch, where the telehealthcare program was launched. In 2012, after additional development on the program, the service was extended to the Jin-Shan branch. Taipei City is the capital of Taiwan, and the Jin-Shan region is a small region in the countryside that contains a mere 0.8% of the population (n = 22,400) of Taipei City (n = 2,702,315).\cite{26,27} The program in the two branches provided the same service. In this research, the Taipei City branch was classified as being in an urban area and the Jin-Shan branch as being in a rural area. After operations in the second branch stabilized, it became interested in how patients accept the technology, and thus inspired this study to further observe the usability of the service and to compare the urban and rural areas in terms of how patients utilized the telehealthcare service.

Data were collected for two years (from January 2012 to December 2013). Patients diagnosed with either Type 1 or Type 2 diabetes mellitus and with an HbA1c level greater than 7.5, or those identified as not well-controlled, were recruited under informed consent. Those with severe diabetes complications that could affect the participation of the study were excluded from the analysis. Also excluded from this study were those who provided fewer than 15 glucose measurements during the data collection period, which represent submitting measurements less than 2 times each month. Usability analysis includes the frequency of patients who logged into the web portal, the frequency of their glucose measurements, and whether the records were transmitted to the system through 3G networks automatically or were manually inputted. The frequency differences between the two groups of patients logging in to submit glucose measurements were compared with an independent T-test. The differences between patients who used 3G data transmission from those who used manual input were compared with chi-square tests. Also, in this study we grouped the daily glucose measurements uploaded by patients in 3-month intervals and validated the consistency of the mean 3-month daily glucose levels and the HbA1c results. This study compared the overall consistency (urban and rural patients together) and the consistency of urban and rural patients respectively. Validation was performed with person correlation tests. Finally, the differences of glucose levels and HbA1c control of the patients in both areas for each year were compared, also using an independent T-test. Data was analyzed using SPSS for Windows version 20 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results

One hundred and seventy-six patients entered the program, of whom 56 were excluded due to missing information, failure to not transmit data, or transmissions of fewer than 15 glu-
cose measurements during the data collection period. One hundred and twenty patients remained and were included in the analysis. Eighty-seven participants (72.50%) were from Taipei City (urban area) and 33 were (27.5%) from the Jinshan region (rural area). Table 1, which contains the demographic information of the enrolled participants, validates the differences among the demographic variables between the two groups. In particular, it can be observed that patients in the urban area had significantly higher frequencies of web portal logins (p < .001) and significantly higher frequencies of glucose record submissions (p = .003).

Table 1. Demographic information of enrolled patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Urban</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>Female (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45 (75.0)</td>
<td>15 (25.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td>.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53.21 ± 13.45</td>
<td>56.42 ± 13.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td>.929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25.31 ± 3.76</td>
<td>25.40 ± 5.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access times</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td>.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99.37 ± 179.74</td>
<td>14.97 ± 56.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose records</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td>.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>528.63 ± 639.09</td>
<td>266.33 ± 283.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: BMI: Body mass index; SD: Standard deviation; * p < .01; ** p < .001

Table 2 is a comparison of how patients recorded their daily glucose levels. It appears that more rural patients transmitted their daily glucose levels using devices than those in urban areas (p < .001). Table 3 shows the consistency between the mean 3-month daily glucose level and the HbA1c results. It appears that the two values are highly consistent (p < .001), even when the two areas are observed separately (p < .001, p = .011). Table 4 shows that both areas showed improved glucose control and decreased glucose variances. However, there was no further evidence of any differences in glucose or HbA1c control between the two groups.

Table 2. Comparison of location and daily glucose submission methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Manual input (%)</th>
<th>Auto transmit by device (%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Upload frequency (monthly)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>15,862 (37.04)</td>
<td>26,957 (62.96)</td>
<td>42,819</td>
<td>14.87</td>
<td>.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1,529 (17.40)</td>
<td>7,260 (82.60)</td>
<td>8,789</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17,391</td>
<td>34,217</td>
<td>51,608</td>
<td>17.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * p < .001

4. Discussion

The intention when implementing new technologies is to improve patient outcomes. Unlike therapeutic devices that directly affect patients, information technology’s effect in is changing the way the patient’s condition is understood and the way in which care is delivered.[28] Usability, which concerns the way patients utilize the telehealthcare service, is an important indicator for determining future service adjustments and can also yield opportunities to gain further insight into patient status.[29] The results show no significant differences for patients from the two areas in gender, age, and BMI; this is indicative of similar populations for the two groups. Urban patients tended to use the web portal more often than rural patients; this indicates that they were more familiar with information technology and more willing to use it to support their daily self-care. However, while still keeping more daily glucose measurements, patients in the urban area used 3G data transmission less frequently than patients in the rural area. This may be because some urban patients already had a glucometer and were unwilling to make changes as they participated in the program, and thus tended to login and record their glucose levels manually. This may have affected the frequency of web portal logins for urban patients as well. At the same time, rural patients may not have viewed daily glucose measurements as a routine practice, and were willing to use the new device provided to them.

The telehealthcare assessment relied heavily on data that represents patient conditions; further caution is needed with respect to the reliability of patient-reported data.[30] The consistency between the mean 3-month daily glucose level and the HbA1c results shows highly consistency in this research, indicating that the daily data reliably represents patient con-
ditions. Although both groups showed a decrease in HbA1c levels in the second year of their participation, no further evidence indicated that the two regions benefited in other ways from the telehealthcare service. Usability was also affected by the degree to which patients were familiar with technology; however, this research did not further investigate the patients’ level of familiarity with technology or whether they had access to the Internet regularly. This is thus a limitation of this study.

Usability of the web portal represents the way patients utilize the telehealthcare service. Thus when trying to more evenly distribute healthcare resources, one important indicator to understanding whether regional service adjustments or different requirements are needed is to evaluate the usability differences of patients between urban and rural areas. Usability analysis offers an opportunity to gain further insight into patient daily self-care activities and to find out how patients interact with the service.

In this study, the result shows that patients in urban areas may already be used to self-monitoring blood glucose levels, and were not likely to change their glucose monitoring practices when participating in the telehealthcare service, even when it could reduce their efforts in recording measurements. However, they remained highly involved and were willing to record their daily glucose levels by logging into the web portal and inputting the values manually. At the same time, patients in rural areas also showed highly participated, and were willing to use the new technologies to assist them in improving their control over diabetes. Based on the results, it appears that while patients in urban areas are willing to login to web portals, these portals should include more information and smart applications. For patients in rural areas, the application ought to be simple and easy to use. The results also show that patient-reported data is reliable and highly consistent with blood test results. Telehealthcare is beneficial for patients with chronic diseases. In the way patients use telehealthcare services, differences exist between urban and rural areas; more research is needed to address these differences and provide healthcare that better meets patient needs.
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