A weighted fuzzy inference method
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ABSTRACT

Ordered weighted averaging operator is introduced to the fuzzy inference for giving suitable weights to the weighted fuzzy production rules. This paper introduces a given weights method of ordered weighted averaging operator based on combinatorial number, according to which we propose an inference algorithm for the weighted fuzzy production rules of weighted fuzzy set. In the process of using this algorithm, a calculation method of weighted fuzzy matching function value and comprehensive similarity measure based on the operator are introduced for calculating the matching degree of the input facts and antecedent portion of the rules reasonability. Example analysis illustrates the feasibility and effectiveness of the given weighted fuzzy inference algorithm.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy inference has been widely used in the field of control system and artificial intelligence. Closed intervals and fuzzy sets have similar effect on representing uncertain data, therefore the Poland school proposed interval analysis[1, 2] in the early 1980s. The interval analysis combined with fuzzy set method had better effect, so the concept of interval-valued fuzzy sets (hereinafter referred to as IVFS) was proposed and used in fuzzy inference.

In practical applications, especially in the process of decision, evaluation, etc., due to it’s very difficult to grasp the essence of dynamic things, the single value of an object’s degree of membership is often not easy to determine, but the interval value of its degree of membership is relatively easier to determine, and interval-valued fuzzy inference method can reduce the loss of information in the inference process. The literature[3] studied two inference forms of simple interval-valued fuzzy inference and multiple interval-valued fuzzy inference on the basis of interval-valued fuzzy relations, but did not consider the condition with uncertainty factors or weights parameters. In the process of inference, due to the influence degree of different factors on the result was not the same, then it accords with the idea of human thinking that the main factors were given bigger weights and secondary factors were given smaller weights. The OWA operator (ordered weighted averaging Operator) theory[4] which was given by the Yager well reflected the above idea.

2. BASIC THEORY ON WEIGHTED FUZZY SETS

In the process of comparing two weighted fuzzy sets, we need some quantitative indexes to denote the compared results. The commonly used indicators are similarity measure and distance, which denote the degree of similarity and difference degree of two weighted fuzzy sets, respectively. This paper gives the calculation formula of similarity measure as follows:
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**Definition 1:** Assume the \( U = \{u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n\} \) is a universal set, and is a finite set. All weighted fuzzy sets of universal set \( U \) are denoted by \( IwF(U) \). \( A, B \in IwF(U) \) and assume \( A = \{ (u_i, A^-(u_i), A^+(u_i)) / u_i \in U \} \), \( B = \{ (u_i, B^-(u_i), B^+(u_i)) / u_i \in U \} \), where \( A^- : U \rightarrow [0, 1], A^+ : U \rightarrow [0, 1], \) and \( A^-(u_i) \leq A^+(u_i), \forall u_i \in U \).

Assume \( p \) belongs to the natural number set, i.e., \( p \in \mathbb{N}^+ \). Take \( \lambda_i, \mu_i \in [0, 1] \) and \( \lambda_i + \mu_i = 1 \). Assume \( \omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_n) \) is a weight vector corresponding to the universal set \( U \), where \( \omega_i \in [0, 1] \), \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i = 1, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \). The similarity measure of \( A \) and \( B \) is defined as follows:

\[
N(A(u_i), B(u_i)) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left( \lambda_i |A^-(u_i) - B^-(u_i)| + \mu_i |A^+(u_i) - B^+(u_i)| \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}
\]

(1)

Specially, for \( \mu, \lambda \in [0, 1] \) and \( \mu + \lambda = 1, p = 2 \), the similarity measure of \( A \) and \( B \) is defined as follows:

\[
N(A(u_i), B(u_i)) = \left\{ \left( \mu |A^- (u_i) - B^- (u_i)| + \lambda |A^+ (u_i) - B^+ (u_i)| \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

(2)

About the validity of Definition 1, it must satisfy the four conditions given in literature:[5,6]

1. \( N(A, A) = 1 \);  
2. \( N(U, \varnothing) = 0, U = (1,1), \varnothing = (0,0) \);  
3. \( N(A, B) = N(B, A) \);  
4. \( A \subseteq B \subseteq C \Rightarrow N(A, C) \leq N(B, C) \land N(A, B) \).

The proof of validity of \( N(A(U_i), B(U_i)) \) is given as follows: \( N(A(u_i), B(u_i)) \) is given as follows:

Prove: (1)

\[
N(A, A) = 1 - \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left( \lambda_i |A^-(u_i) - A^-(u_i)| + \mu_i |A^+(u_i) - A^+(u_i)| \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = 1 - 0 = 1
\]

(2) If \( A(u_i) = [1,1], \) then \( A^C(u_i) = [0,0], i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \), and then there is

\[
N(A, A^C) = 1 - \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left( \lambda_i ||-0\| + \mu_i ||0\| \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = 1 - 1 = 0
\]

Similarly, if \( A(u_i) = [0,0], \) then \( A^C(u_i) = [1,1], i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \), and then there is \( N(A, A^C) = 0 \)

(3) \( N(A, B) = N(B, A) \) is obvious.

(4)

\[
N(A, B) = N(B, A) \Rightarrow A \subseteq B \subseteq C \Rightarrow N(A, C) \leq N(B, C) \land N(A, B) \]

Then

\[
\lambda_i |A^-(u_i) - C^+(u_i)| + \mu_i |A^+(u_i) - C^+(u_i)| \geq \lambda_i |A^-(u_i) - B^+(u_i)| + \mu_i |A^+(u_i) - B^+(u_i)|
\]

So,

\[
N(A, C) = 1 - \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left( \lambda_i |A^-(u_i) - C^+(u_i)| + \mu_i |A^+(u_i) - C^+(u_i)| \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 1 - \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left( \lambda_i |A^-(u_i) - B^+(u_i)| + \mu_i |A^+(u_i) - B^+(u_i)| \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = N(A, B)
\]

Similarly, there is \( N(A, C) \leq N(B, C) \).

Therefore, the definition of \( N(A, B) \) is valid.

**Definition 2:** Assume \( F : R^n \rightarrow R \), if \( F(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_j b_j \), where \( \omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_n) \) is an \( n \) dimensional vector associated with the function \( F, \omega_j \in [0, 1], j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}, \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_j = 1, \) and \( b_j \) is the \( j \)-th large element in a set of data \( \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\} \), \( R \) is the set of real number, the function \( F \) is called \( n \) dimensional OWA operator (ordered weighted average operator).

The obvious feature of OWA operator is that firstly reorders the given decision-making data \( \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\} \) in descending order and obtain a new data \( \{b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n\} \), and aggregates the new data by the given weight vector. The weight value \( \omega_j \) has no relationship with the element \( a_j \), it’s only related to the \( j \)-th position in the aggregate process.

The OWA operator is the aggregation method of multiple attribute decision making information between the maximum and minimum operator. The literature[8] introduced one of the most common methods, that is
when \( \omega = \left(0, \frac{1}{n-2}, \cdots, \frac{1}{n-2}, 0\right) \). \( F(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_j b_j = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} b_j \). That is, get rid of the maximum and minimum values, and the rest to do the arithmetic average. This method is so concise that it is widely accepted by people, which is usually used for final results of players in tournament. But this method ignored the use of the maximum and minimum values in the decision-making process, and also concealed the respective particularity of decision data. So this paper chooses another kind of interval value weighting method, namely OWA operator weighting method \( \omega \) value sets according to the following definition 3.

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_j b_j = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} b_j.
\]

Fact: if \( X \) is \( A^* \)
Conclusion: then \( Y \) is \( B^* \)

Where \( A_j \in IvF(U), B_j \in IvF(V), U \) and \( V \) are universal set of \( X \) and \( Y \), respectively, \( U = \{u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n\}, V = \{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n\} \). \( cf_j \) denotes the credibility of \( j \)-th rule, \( \lambda_j \) is the threshold value assigned to the \( j \)-th rule, \( j = 1, 2, \cdots, n \).

The weighted weighted fuzzy inference based on OWA operator implements the following steps:

Step 1: Domain experts give a set of weighted fuzzy production rules and match facts according to experience, tips and heuristic knowledge.

Step 2: According to the definition 3, we calculate the matching degree vector \( \alpha_j \) between the antecedent \( A_j \) of \( j \)-th rule and the match fact \( A^* \), where \( \alpha_j = N(A^*(u_i), A_j(u_i)) (j = 1, 2, \cdots, n; i = 1, 2, \cdots, n) \).

Step 3: According to the definition 5, we calculate the weight vector of matching degree vector \( \omega_j \) of \( j \)-th rule \( \omega_j = (\omega_j, \omega_j, \cdots, \omega_j) \).

Step 4: According to the definition 6, we calculate the comprehensive similarity measure of \( j \)-th rule \( S_j(A^*, A_j) = F(\alpha_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i \alpha_{ji}, \beta_{ji} \) where \( \beta_{ji} \) is the \( i \)-th large data of \( \alpha_j \). Then according to credibility of the rules given by the experts, we calculate the amendatory comprehensive similarity measure \( S_j'(A^*, A_j) = s_j(A^*, A_j) \cdot cf_j \) that is correlated with certainty degree.

Step 5: If \( S_j'(A^*, A_j) \geq \lambda_j \) then the rule is aroused. Calculate the interval value of inference result of the consequent

\[
D_j = \min \left\{[l, l], \frac{D_j'}{S_j'(A^*, A)} \right\}
\]

by the formula

\[
D_j = \min \left\{[1, 1], \frac{D_j'}{S_j'(A^*, A)} \right\}
\]

where \( D_j \) is a two-dimension output, i.e., lower limit and upper limit of membership degree. If more than one rule are aroused, calculate the final inference result by the formula \( D_j' = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} D_j' \). If \( S_j'(A^*, A_j) < \lambda_j \), then the rule is not aroused.

4. Experiment and analysis

Example Assume an weighted fuzzy inference system knowledge set includes the following weighted fuzzy production rules:

\[
R_1: \text{if } X \text{ is } A_1, \text{ then } Y \text{ is } B_1, \text{ cf}_1, \lambda_1;
R_2: \text{if } X \text{ is } A_2, \text{ then } Y \text{ is } B_2, \text{ cf}_2, \lambda_2;
\ldots
R_n: \text{if } X \text{ is } A_n, \text{ then } Y \text{ is } B_n, \text{ cf}_n, \lambda_n;
\]

Fact: if \( X \) is \( A^* \)
Conclusion: then \( Y \) is \( B^* \)

Where \( A_j \in IvF(U), B_j \in IvF(V); U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\} \); \( V = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \); \( cf_j \in [0, 1] \) is a reliability value of \( j \)-th rule. \( \lambda_j \in [0, 1] \) is a threshold value of \( j \)-th rule. Assume the interval value fuzzy set of each rule is:
According to the reliability value of each rule given by ex-

4.1 Extraction of fuzzy palmprint

This paper extracts fuzzy geometrical characteristics from

disordered palmprint image for palmprint classification and

identification. By processing of any two types and

direction of five characteristics of palmprint, namely:

left rotation, right rotation, bifurcation point, wave

er and clear arc on any extent (for example, 20%,

40%, 60%), carries out target recognition.

According to the reliability value of each rule given by ex-

pert again, calculate the comprehensive correction degrees of

similarity associated with degree of certainty

Since $S_1' = 0.67 > \lambda_1 = 0.56$, the rule $R_1$ is aroused, then

the inference result of the rule $R_1$ is

Since $S_2' = 0.62 > \lambda_2 = 0.55$ the rule $R_2$ is aroused, then

the inference result of the rule $R_2$ is
4.2 Experimental data selection
In here, the main palmprint database used here is the Polytechnic University (PolyU) palmprint Database (The second version), which is constructed in biometric identification research center of Hongkong Polytechnic University. The experimental basic steps are given as follows:

P1. Fifty different palms are chosen from PolyU Palmprint Database, and 3 palmprint images sampled in different time are selected for each palm. These palmprint images are divided into two groups randomly, where the first group is consist of 50 different images that are from 50 palms and is taken as the learning samples database, and the other group is consist of the remaining 100 images and is taken as the test samples database.

P2. The first group images are carried out a preprocessing and feature extraction by the weighted fuzzy inference. The characteristic vectors of palmprint of 50 palms are acquired, which are archived as training samples.

P3. The second group images are used as the test samples to be identified. Firstly, a palmprint image from the second group is chosen randomly, and is carried out the preprocessing and feature extraction with the weighted fuzzy inference. Then the obtained characteristic vectors are matched with those samples in palmprint archive. The random selections and matching recognitions in 300 times are implemented based on the above palmprint recognition.

P4. For each image in the test sample database, after 300 times recognition are done according to the step P3, the times of the correct recognition and error recognition are recorded respectively, and the correct recognition rates are obtained by calculating. For each palmprint image, 10 times repeating experiments are carried out by the step P1 to step P4 based on the weighted fuzzy inference in simulation. The number of samples is different in each experiment.

4.3 Experimental results and discussion
This paper carries out some experiments on the actual operation data. Experimental results show that three characteristics attributes of palmprint, such as height, length and area coverage indicators, are combined as a group as input while being tested, the best results are obtained, as shown in Figure 1.

Using the fuzzy inference method proposed in this paper for image processing, its denoising effect to fuzzy image is better than that of the existing denoising methods. While being tested, to carry out the combination of other fuzzy geometric characteristics, the obtained vector shows that the recognition rate is high. When the input attribute increases, the combination method can improve the correct recognition rate, but sometimes reduce the learning rate of inference, meanwhile also reducing the universality of conclusions.

![Comparison of image processing method proposed based on fuzzy inference with the existing methods](image)

The experimental results also show that the greater the overlapping degree is, the better the verified image as an overlapping class is. When the palmprint overlap is small, the background of the image mainly needs to be observed. Use different inference, different types of damage for multiple overlapping palmprint images, the experimental results are similar.

From the experiment results, these also show that the most palmprints are able to easily to be recognized in different artificial damages. The degree of recognition is from easy to difficult: the average loss information, dissectioned (along or against), besmirched. In the case of coating ink, it produces a worse result.

This paper adopts the fuzzy inference technology of similarity measure of weighted fuzzy sets based on ordered weighted averaging operator for the palmprint data, as shown in Figure 2.

![Image processing methods](image)

In Figure 2, the abscissa indicates the position of the target operation, and the ordinate indicates the membership size. The solid line indicates the moving trajectory when extracting the characteristics of actual palmprint, and the dotted line indicates the moving trajectory when extracting the characteristics of preprocessing image of the man-made destroyed actual palmprints. Through tracking actually the palmprint data by fuzzy inference based on similarity measure of weighted fuzzy sets, the results show that the inference speed not only has been improved greatly, but also the recognition accuracy...
of the inference system is also improved greatly.

![Fuzzy inference tracking to palmprint](image)

**Figure 2.** The actually tracking result to palmprint data by fuzzy inference based on similarity measure of weighted fuzzy sets

5. **Conclusions**

This paper gives an interval valued weighted fuzzy inference method based on OWA operator. We calculate the weight of every weighted fuzzy rule antecedent by a given weight method of OWA operator based on combinatorial number. When calculating the interval valued similarity measure, we obtain a method by distinguishing the importance extent of upper and lower limits of interval value. At the same time, an weighted weighted fuzzy algorithm is proposed. This algorithm takes into account the importance extent of weighted fuzzy inference antecedent to results, and can easily calculate the value of importance extent, so the inference algorithm based on the weighted weight is closer to the actual inference result, and the results are easier to be applied.
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